

2018 JUN -6 PM 3:35

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CLERK BYG NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS LUBBOCK DIVISION

CHARLES EPLEY AKA Pierryck Castellazzi	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
V.	§	Civil Action No.
	§	
Marco Gonzalez (Sergeant at Montford),	§	
David Camargo (Officer at Montford),	§	
Julio Espinosa III (Officer at Montford),	§	
Rafael Guitron II (Officer at Montford),	§	
Bobby Gutierrez (Officer at Montford),	§	
Jonathan Smith (Officer at Montford),	§	
Herrera (Sergeant at Montford),	§	
Tara C. Flores (Nurse at Montford),	§	
Maria R. Martinez (Nurse at Montford),	§	
Shaquavia C. Wagner (Nurse at Montford),	§	
Tasia M. Rains (Nurse at Montford),	§	
Robert Stevens (Warden at Montford),	§	
John/Jane Doe(s) (officials at Montford),	§	
John Doe (Bus driver: Montford to Robertson)	, §	
Lopez (Sergeant at Robertson),	§	
"Blue" (Duty medical official at Robertson),	§	
John/Jane Doe(s) (officials at Robertson),	§	
Samuel B. Itie (Medical official at Lynaugh),	§	

Roxie Ingram (Medical official at Lynaugh),	§	
M. Fuentes (Practice Manager at Lynaugh),	§	
Michelle D. Sellers (Classification at Lynaugh	1),§	
Paul M. Robinson (Sergeant at Lynaugh),	§	
Heather M. Gonzales (Sergeant at Lynaugh),	§	
Raul Melero (Captain at lynaugh),	§	
Sheila R. Lehnert (Grievance at Lynaugh),	§	
Glen H. Whitfield (Warden at Lynaugh),	§	
John/Jane Doe(s) (officials at Lynaugh),	§	
John/Jane Doe(s)	§	
(Step II Medical Grievance Program -	§	
Office of Professional Standards) –	§	
TDCJ Health Service Division),	§	
K. Ward (Grievance Supervisor),	§	
C. Martinez (Grievance Supervisor),	§	
M. Blalock (Grievance Supervisor),	§	
Steve Massie (Assistant Regional Director),	§	
Katheryn Bell (Use of Force Supervisor),	§	
Maggie Schillaci (Use of Force Supervisor),	§	
John/Jane Doe(s)	§	
(State Classification Committee in Huntsville),	§	
Zeke Tisdale (TDCJ Legal Assistant One),	§	
Nicholas Morrell (TDCJ Asst. General Counsel	),§	
Sharon Felfe Howell (TDCJ General Counsel),	§	
Ken Paxton (Attorney General of Texas),	§	
Defendants.	§	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

## **COMPLAINT**

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

This is a civil rights case filed by Plaintiff Charles Epley (AKA Pierryck Castellazzi) a former Texas prisoner - for damages as to all claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (and for an Emergency Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction which were filed separately, a few days ago) - who is asserting claims for:

- (01). The unconstitutional misuse of force, in violation of the Eighth Amendment to the United States Constitution.
- (02). The denial of much-needed medical, dental, and psychiatric treatments for the injuries inflicted during the unconstitutional misuse of force, in violation of the Eighth Amendment to the United States Constitution.
- (03). Retaliation for having reported the misuse of force and for having sought much-needed medical and psychiatric treatments, in violation of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.
- (04). Denial of due process (e.g., Obstruction of Justice by the defendants, to skirt liability, for the above constitutional violations), in violation to the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.
- (05). The torts of assault and battery and negligence.

#### STATEMENT OF CLAIM

The pleadings filed in this Honorable Court allege the following:

- (06). Certain defendants willfully combined, conspired, and agreed with one another and with coconspirators known and unknown to plaintiff that they would, while acting under color of law,
  injure, oppress, threaten, and intimidate plaintiff in his free exercise and enjoyment of the right,
  secured and protected by the Constitution of the United States, not to be subjected to cruel and
  unusual punishment by one acting under color of law.
- (07). It was part of the manner and means of the conspiracy that certain defendants would unjustifiably brutalize plaintiff while he was lying on the floor, compliant, not posing a physical threat to anyone, and not evading or struggling with any official.
- (08). It was part of the manner and means of the conspiracy that certain defendants would unjustifiably deny plaintiff much-needed treatments for the following serious medical conditions:

### A. MEDICAL:

- Plaintiff's broken nose;
- Plaintiff's Traumatic Brain Injury, with loss of consciousness, headaches, vomiting...;
- Blurred vision to plaintiff's right eye;
- Loss of hearing to plaintiff's right ear;
- Neurological pain in the right side of Plaintiff's neck;
- Pain in plaintiff's left shoulder;
- Broken ribs on plaintiff's right side;
- Worsening of plaintiff's back pain, resulting from preexisting injuries;
- Pain in plaintiff's both knee.

#### B. DENTAL:

- Plaintiff's pain in his frontal teeth;

#### C. PSYCHIATRIC:

- Plaintiff's Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder;
- Plaintiff's severe recurring depressive episodes.
- (09). It was part of the manner and means of the conspiracy that certain defendants would unjustifiably retaliate against plaintiff for having reported having been brutalized and for seeking much-needed medical, dental, and psychiatric treatments for the serious medical conditions itemized above.
- (10). Certain defendants knowingly and willfully combined, conspired, and agreed with one another and with co-conspirators to obstruct Justice by knowingly falsifying and/or making entry(ies) in a record(s) with the intend to impede, obstruct, and influence the investigations.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- (11). This action is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 et seq, to redress the Defendants' tortious conduct and their deprivation of Plaintiff's rights secured by the United States Constitution.
- (12). Jurisdiction over claims brought under the Civil Rights Act of 1871 is conferred on this court pursuant to the Judicial code, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343; and the Constitution of the United States.

- (13). This Court also has supplemental jurisdiction over the pendent state law claims as codified in 28 U.S. § 1367.
- (14). The amount in controversy in this case is greater than \$75,000. And Plaintiff is a citizen of France who resides in France. This Court has diversity jurisdiction over the entire case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332.
- (15). Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District and one or more of the Defendants is subject to the court's personal jurisdiction with respect to this action.
- (16). Pursuant to 28 U.S. 1746, this is a verified complaint which incorporates, for all purposes, Plaintiff's six-page Affidavit/Declaration in Support of Plaintiff's Motion and Memorandum of Law for an Emergency Temporary Restraining Order and a Preliminary Injunction, dated May 22<sup>nd</sup> 2018 and its attached exhibits and makes the documents a part hereof by reference to his Complaint.
- (17). Plaintiff also incorporates, for all purposes, all the pleadings he has previous filed with this Court (i.e., all the Motions, the Memorandums of Law, the exhibits attached to the pleadings... dated May 22<sup>nd</sup> 2018) and makes the documents a part hereof by reference to this Complaint.
- (18). Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury pursuant to Federal Rule of civil Procedure 38(b) on all issues so triable.

#### **PLAINTIFF**

(19). At all times relevant herein Plaintiff was a prisoner of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice-Institutional Division (TDCJ-ID). Plaintiff spent about twenty-eight (28) years incarcerated in the State of Texas. Plaintiff has always maintained that he is not guilty of the crime for which he was convicted. Plaintiff was released from the TDCJ-ID in February of 2018. The Constitutional violations reported herein occurred while Plaintiff was incarcerated at the Montford, Robertson and the Lynaugh prisons of the TDCJ-ID.

Plaintiff can be easily, inexpensively, and promptly contacted at: epleylegal@gmail.com.

- (20). Texas Penal Code Sec. 22.04 states, in part:
- a). A person commits an offense if he intentionally, knowingly, recklessly, or with criminal negligence, by act or intentionally, knowingly, or recklessly by omission, causes to a child, elderly individual, or disabled individual.:
  - (1). Serious bodily injury;
  - (2). Serious mental deficiency, impairment, or injury; or
  - (3). Bodily injury.
- (c)(3). "Disabled individual" means a person older than 14 years of age who by reason of age or physical or mental disease, defect, or injury is substantially unable to protect himself from harm or to provide food, shelter, or medical care for himself.

Plaintiff will be sixty-one (61) year old in August of 2018.

Per the available medical and psychiatric records, Plaintiff is a disabled individual.

#### **DEFENDANTS**

(21). Marco Gonzalez, was at all times herein (Monday June O6-2016 and Tuesday June 07-2016) a Sergeant of security at the Montford prison, of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice (TDCJ), located in Lubbock, Texas USA (hereafter: "Montford", for easy reference).

Based on the information available at the time this Complaint is written (i.e., before Discovery has been conducted), it is believed that Defendant Marco Gonzalez is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #01, #04, #05, #06, #07 and #10.

- (22). David Camargo was at all times herein (Monday June 06-2016) a prison guard at Montford. Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant David Camargo is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #01, #04, #05, #06, #07 and #10.
- (23). Julio Espinosa III was at all times herein (Monday June 06-2016) a prison guard at Montford. Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant Julio Espinosa III is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #01, #04, #05, #06, #07 and #10.
- (24). Rafael Guitron II was at all times herein (Monday June 06-2016) a prison guard at Montford. Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant Rafael Guitron II is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #01, #04, #05, #06, #07 and #10.

- (25). Bobby Gutierrez was at all times herein (Monday June 06-2016) a prison guard at Montford. Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant Bobby Gutierrez is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #01, #04, #05, #06, #07 and #10.
- (26). Jonathan Smith was at all times herein (Monday June 06-2016) a prison guard at Montford. Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant Jonathan Smith is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #01, #04, #05, #06, #07 and #10.
- (27). Herrera was at all times herein (Monday June 06-2016 and Tuesday June 07-2016) a Sergeant of security at Montford.

Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant Herrera is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #03, #04, #05, #06, #09 and #10.

- (28). Tara C. Flores was at all times herein (Monday June 06-2016) a Nurse at Montford. Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant Tara C. Flores is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #02, #04, #05, #08, #10.
- (29). Maria R. Martinez was at all times herein (Monday June 06-2016) a Nurse at Montford. Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant Maria R. Martinez is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #02, #04, #05, #08 and #10.

- (30). Shaquavia C. Wagner was at all times herein (Monday June 06-2016) a Nurse at Montford. Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant Shaquavia C. Wagner is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #02, #04, #05, #08 and #10.
- (31). Tasia M. Rains was at all times herein (Monday 06-2016) a Nurse at Montford. Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant Tasia M. Rains is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #02, #04, #05, #08 and #10.
- (32). Robert Stevens was at all times herein (June and July of 2016) the Warden at Montford. Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant Robert Stevens is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #04, #05 & #10.
- (33). John/Jane Doe(s) security and medical official(s) was(were) at all times herein (June of 2016) at Montford.

Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that certain John/Jane Doe(s) Defendant(s) is(are) liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #02, #03, #04, #05, #08, #09 and #10.

(34). Lopez was at all times herein (Tuesday June 07-2016) a Sergeant of security at the Robertson prison, of the TDCJ, located in Abilene, Texas USA (hereafter: "Robertson", for easy reference). Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant Lopez is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #02, #04, #05, #06, and #08.

(35). "Blue" was at all times herein (Tuesday June 07-2016, Wednesday June 08-2016, and Thursday June 09-2016) the medical official dispensing the prescribed medications to the prisoners incarcerated in the transit building of the Administrative-Segregation at Robertson. Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant 'Blue' is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #02, #04, #05, #08 & #10.

(36). John/Jane Does security and medical officials – including, but not limited to, the bus driver who operated the bus which transported Plaintiff from Montford to Robertson on Tuesday June 07-2016 - were at all times herein (Tuesday June 07-2016) at the "reception" area of the transit building of the Administrative-Segregation at Robertson.

Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that certain John/Jane does Defendants are liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #02, #03, #04, #05, #06, #08, #09 and #10.

(37). Samuel B. Itie was at all times herein (June of 2016) a medical supervisor at Lynaugh. Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant Samuel B. Itie is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #02, #04, #05, #06, #08 and #10.

(38). Roxie Ingram was at times herein (June of 2016) the medical supervisor of the Mental-health Department at Lynaugh. In that capacity, Roxie Ingram interfaced between the officials at Montford and the officials at Lynaugh.

Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant Roxie Ingram is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #02, #03, #04, #05, #06, #08, #09 and #10.

(39). M. Fuentes was at the times herein (June of 2016) the medical department's Practice Manager at lynaugh. In that capacity she also interfaced between the security and the medical officials. Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant M. Fuentes is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #02, #04, #05, #08 and #10.

(40). Michelle D. Sellers was at all times herein (June of 2016) the Director of Classification at Lynaugh).

Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant Michelle D. Sellers is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #03, #04, #05, #06, #09 and #10.

(41). Paul M. Robinson was at all times herein (June of 2016) a Sergeant of security at Lynaugh. Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant Paul M. Robinson is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #03, #04, #05, #06, #09 and #10.

(42). Heather M. Gonzales was at all times (Monday June 20-2016) a Sergeant of security at Lynaugh with the duty to investigate/prosecute assaults, batteries and other crimes within the TDCJ prisons.

Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant Heather M. Gonzales is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #04, #05, #06, and #10.

- (43). Raul Melero was at all times (Monday June 20-2016) a Captain of security at Lynaugh with the duty to investigate/prosecute assaults, batteries and other crimes within the TDCJ prisons. Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant Raul Melero is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #04, #05, #06, and #10.
- (44). Sheila R. Lehnert was at all times herein (June of 2016) the Grievance Supervisor at Lynaugh. Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant Sheila R. Lehnert is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #04, #05 and #10.
- (45). Glen H. Whitfield was at all times herein (June and July of 2016) the Warden at Lynaugh. Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant Glen Whitfield is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #04, #05 & #10.
- (46). John/Jane Doe(s) official(s) was(were) at all times (June of 2016) at Lynaugh. Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that certain John/Jane Doe(s) Defendant(s) is(are) liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #02, #03, #04, #05, #06, #08, #09 and #10.
- (47). John/Jane Doe(s) was(were) at all times herein official(s) with the Step II Medical Grievance Program-Office of Professional Standards-TDCJ Health Service Division.

Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that the John/Jane Doe(s) Defendant(s) is(are) liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #02, #04, #05, #08 and #10.

(48). K. Ward was at all times herein acting as Grievance Supervisor.

Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant K. Ward is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #04 and #10.

(49). C. Martinez was at all times herein acting as Grievance Supervisor.

Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant C. Martinez is liable for the violations/crimes in the complaint's paragraphs: #04 and #10.

(50). M. Blalock was at all times herein acting as Grievance Supervisor.

Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant M. Blalock is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #04 and #10.

(51). Steve Massie, Assistant Regional Director, was at all times herein acting as Grievance Supervisor.

Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant Steve Massie is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #04 and #10.

(52). Katheryn Bell was at all times herein an official with the TDCJ Office of the Administrative Monitor for Use of Force.

Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant Katherine Bell is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #04 and #10.

(53). Maggie Schillaci was at all times herein an official with the TDCJ Office of the Administrative Monitor for Use of Force.

Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant Maggie Schillaci is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #04 & #10.

(54). John/Jane Doe(s) official(s) was(were) at all time herein with the State Classification Committee.

Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that certain John/Jane Doe(s) Defendant(s) is(are) liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #04 and #10.

(55). Zeke Tisdale was at all times herein the TDCJ Legal Assistant One.

Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant Zeke Tisdale is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #04 and #10.

(56). Nicholas Morrell was at all times herein the TDCJ Assistant General Counsel.

Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant Nicholas Morrell is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #04 and #10.

(57). Sharon Felfe Howell was at all times herein the TDCJ General Counsel.

Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant Sharon Felfe Howell is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #04 and #10.

(58). Ken Paxton was at all times herein the Attorney General of Texas.

Based on the information available at the time this complaint is written, it is believed that Defendant Ken Paxton is liable for the violations/crimes cited in this complaint's paragraphs: #04 and #10.

(59). At all times herein, Defendants were "persons" for purposes of 42 U.S.C. Section 1983 and acted under color of law to deprive Plaintiff of his constitutional rights, as set forth below. Each Defendant is sued individually and in his/her official capacity.

#### STATEMENT OF FACTS

- (60). Per the medical records compiled by the Texas prison medical officials, the psychiatrists who have examined me have diagnosed me with Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) (EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 01 is illustrative, as opposed to exhaustive).
- (61). I have a medical history of having sustained Traumatic Brain Injuries (TBI) in the Texas prison system (see EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 02).
- (62). My PTSD and my TBI are causing me to be afflicted with extremely painful and debilitating symptoms, such as excruciating migraine attacks, confusion particularly during stressful situations, sleeping disturbances, chronic fatigue, feelings of unreality during which I do not know if what I see real, anxiety and panic attacks, vivid and distressing flashbacks and nightmares, severe and recurrent depressive episodes...

- (63). Inside the Texas prison system, the prisoners and the officials have exploited my PTSD and TBI symptoms to manipulate me and to cause me to be subjected to unnecessary abuses, hardships... due to my level of extreme vulnerability. As a result, I have a comprehensive history of being victimized by the Texas prisoners and officials.
- (64). Due to my PTSD and my TBI, i.e., the above serious medical conditions, the Texas prison medical doctors have given me and refused to rescind the medical restriction known as: SINGLE-CELL (see EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 03, which is illustrative as opposed to exhaustive). I was SINGLE-CELLED from on or about 1995 or 1996, until my release from the Texas prison system in February of 2018.
- (65). Due to the SINGLE-CELL medical restriction, I must be housed in a cell alone.
- (66). On Thursday June 02-2016, I arrived at the Lynaugh prison, located in Fort Stockton, Texas.

  A few hours later, I was transferred to the Montford prison, in Lubbock, Texas.
- (67). At the Montford prison, I was SINGLE-CELLED from Thursday June 02-2016 until Monday June 06-2016. The conditions of incarcerations at the Montford prison were such that they exacerbated both my PTSD and my TBI.
- (68). During the PM hours of Monday June 06-2016, I was taken to a cell occupied by three (3) African-American prisoners I had never seen before. This triggered severe PTSD symptoms which prevented me from entering the cell occupied by the three prisoners.
- (69). The Lubbock-based Montford prison is an inpatient psychiatric facility.
- (70). I asked the officials to allow me to be examined by a medical doctor/psychiatrist.

- (71). I was placed naked, except for an underwear, inside an empty day-room where I was overwhelmed with TBI/PTSD symptoms (e.g., confusion, fear, flashbacks, feelings of unreality...).
- (72). Certain officials made me believe that the medical doctors were going to examine me.
- (73). Suddenly, through a special opening in the door, gas was shoot at me from what appeared to be a large pistol. I became incapacitated and unable to think. I laid on the ground on my stomach with my arms stretched out.
- (74). At one point, I tried to crawl toward the door's special opening to allow the officials to handcuff me, from the outside, through the door' special opening. I wanted to be handcuffed to leave the room saturated with gas as I was suffocating and felt as if I was dying.
- (75). Next, maybe as many as six (6) large and heavily armored security officials ran toward me and crushed me as I was laying on the ground compliant, not posing a threat to anyone, and not evading or struggling with any officer.
- (76). I believe that it was defendant Sergeant Marco Gonzalez who forcefully slammed by head against the concrete/cement floor, <u>after</u> the officials had already crushed me. I felt intense pain in my head, face, nose, ear, front teeth... I also felt blood on my face.
- (77). As the officials were on the top of me, crushing my body, for an instant I felt less pressure on my chest, and suddenly defendant Sergeant Marco Gonzalez's very heavy weight and armored equipment crushed me once more breaking several ribs on my right side. Defendant Sergeant Marco Gonzalez had raised his body from mine (while apparently remaining on the top of me), and then dropped himself once more with force on the right side of my body. I immediately felt a sharp tearing pain in my chest's right side. Every move then became painful. I had severe pain throughout my entire body.

- (78). At that moment, I feared that the officials were trying to crush me to death, as I have seen the New York City policer officers do on national television.
- (79). I believe that the use of force video for case number MA-03534-06-16, which was recorded on June 06-16, by the Texas prison officials, at the Montford unit located in Lubbock, Texas i.e., the video-recording which Plaintiff has moved this Honorable federal court to obtain in his MOTION AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW FOR AN EMERGENCY TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION shows the above facts in an incontrovertible manner.
- (80). Plaintiff incorporates by reference, to this verified Complaint, the following grievances he has filed with the Texas Department of Criminal Justice:
  - # 2016158831, both steps one and two, (EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # **04**);
  - # 2016160882, both steps one and two, (EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 05);
  - # 2017141526, both steps one and two, (EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 06).
- (81). I was subsequently taken in handcuff to a four (4) men cell and thrown on the dirty floor near the toilet where the prisoners defecate. The three (3) African-American prisoners became angry because a white person's blood was contaminating their cell.
- (82). I felt very dizzy. After a period of time I cannot estimate, I lost consciousness. I vomited several times during the night. I was debilitated with painful TBI and PTSD symptoms. And by the physical pains (e.g., broken ribs) caused by the brutal acts. Yet, I was <u>not</u> allowed to leave the four (4) men cell to obtain much-needed medical care, or to shower to remove the burning chemicals...
- (83). The following morning (Tue. June 07-16), before ordering me to board the bus without medical treatment, defendant Sergeant Marco Gonzalez told me: "I am the one who fucked you. I hate French people. If you come back to Montford, I will fuck you again."

(84). The medical records show (e.g., EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 07) that, on June 06-2016, and at the Montford Unit located in Lubbock, Texas, Plaintiff sustained severe injuries.

# For example, I suffered:

- A broken nose;
- Pain in the frontal teeth (several teeth have become loose);
- Traumatic Brain Injury, with loss of consciousness, headaches, vomiting...;
- Blurred vision to my right eye;
- Loss of hearing to my right ear;
- Pain in the right side of my neck;
- Pain in my left shoulder;
- Broken ribs on my right side;
- Worsening of my back pain, resulting from preexisting injuries;
- Pain in both knees.
- (85). The Texas prison medical official who conducted a very brief examination, at the Lynaugh prison on June 10-2016 (after the events which occurred on Monday June 06-16) is named: SAMUEL B. ITIE. His name appears on the medical record known as the EMR (Electronic Medical Record) Master Problem List, dated June 15-2016 (please see the small arrows on the left margin of EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 08).

(86). Before, during and after I was brutalized by Defendants Marco Gonzalez (21), David Camargo (22), Julio Espinosa III (23), Rafael Guitron II (24), Bobby Gutierrez (25) and Jonathan Smith (26), medical and psychiatric officials were standing nearby observing the brutal acts without intervening, whereas, per the TDCJ rules and policy medical and psychiatric officials have the authority and the duty to intervene at any time a prisoner is being injured. I must point out that the fact that the above Defendants acted in such of an egregious and blatant manner in front of the medical and psychiatric officials, whom themselves exercised deliberate indifference to my health and safety, exposes the fact that the Warden, Defendant Robert Steven (32), had created practices/customs/policies allowing or encouraging the illegal acts; And that Defendant Robert Steven had failed to adequately train or supervise his and her subordinates. These medical and psychiatric officials are among the Montford John and Jane Does Defendants whom I believe can be identified on the video-recording, I have moved this Court to obtain before the Defendants destroy it.

(87). Among the medical/psychiatric officials - who demonstrated deliberate indifference to my health/safety - I have identified the following Defendants because they compiled medical records (which became temporarily available to me), after the brutal acts occurred. These Defendants are:

- Nurse Tara C. Flores (28);
- Nurse Maria R. Martinez (29);
- Nurse Shaquavia C. Wagner (30);
- Nurse Tasia M. Rains (31).

(88). The above defendants not only denied me much-needed medical, dental and psychiatric treatments for the injuries I had sustained on Monday June 06/16, but they also knowingly and willfully combined, conspired, and agreed with one another and with the TDCJ uniformed coconspirators to intentionally enter false information in my medical records to conceal/suppress the injuries I had sustained i.e., the injuries that the medical officials - such as supervisor Samuel B. Itie - witnessed when I arrived at Lynaugh. Moreover, one of the Jane Doe Defendant pressed a rag upon my nose – which was broken – causing me extreme pain. This was done not only to conceal the blood but also to intimidate me from asking the medical officials for help by "letting me know" that asking for medical treatment would only result in more pain and suffering. This callous act should be visible on the video-recording if it has not been altered/falsified/deleted. Certain, John/Jane does Defendants also intimidated and threatened me (by, for example, laughing at me and saying to me: "French motherfuckers do not get medical treatment here, they only get beaten up when they speak.") to put me in fear of seeking medical treatments for my injuries.

(89). On Tuesday June 07-16, and at Montford, two security officials came to my assigned cell to handcuff me. As we were passing near the nurse-station, a uniformed TDCJ Sergeant of security whom I believe to be official Herrera (27) and the Nurses laugh at me, warned me that French people were not welcome in Lubbock, and that I will be beaten up more if I were to return to Montford, as I was passing still covered in blood and disoriented due to the sleep deprivation and continuing severe pains.

(90). At no time was I provided with any medical treatment for my injuries, at Montford. Instead, I was threatened with additional acts of brutalities. It was total and abject deliberate indifference.

- (91). Shortly before boarding the bus, I saw defendant Marco Gonzalez (21) speak with the driver of the bus who took me from Montford to the Robertson prison of the TDCJ (Robertson).
- (92). As the Warden of the Montford Psychiatric Prison, Defendant Robert Steven (32) had easy access to the video-recording of the injuries I had sustained. Defendant Robert Steven had a duty to take the necessary corrective actions, such as alerting the authorities to investigate the crimes.
- (93). The rulings issued in Step One of grievance # 2016158831 and of grievance # 2016160882 (EXHIBITS: COMPLAINT # **04** and COMPLAINT # **05**, respectively) by Defendant Robert Steven are evidences that Defendant Robert Steven, a supervisor, has denied me due process in violation to the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution. Defendant Robert Steven:
  - A. Directly participated in the violation of knowingly, combining, conspiring, and agreeing with the other Montford-based Defendants to falsifying and/or making entries in the records such as my grievances referenced above with the intend to impede, obstruct, and influence the investigations.
  - B. Learned of the violations of my rights, when he viewed the video as he must have done, when he read my grievances which he signed -..., and failed to take the necessary corrective actions;
  - C. Created practices/customs/policies allowing or encouraging the illegal acts reported herein;
  - D. Failed to adequately train and/or supervise his and her subordinates per the above facts which are verified by the video-recording.

- (94). The injuries sustained at Montford caused me to experience excruciating pains during the lengthy (believed to be about 170 miles long) and difficult trip (due to the conditions of my transport, such as being handcuffed, being unable to move, being in a stress position the entire time due to my injuries) from Montford to Robertson. Incidentally, during his initial examination, on June 10-2016, medical supervisor Samuel B. Itie reported being bewildered and angry that I was placed in the bus with the kind of injuries I had sustained.
- (95). Upon disembarking from the bus, at Robertson, I alerted the duty nurse standing-by, a large white woman with long blond hair who refused to identify herself (one of the John/Jane Does 36). She immediately asked me who had injured me, as opposed to what were my injuries.
- (96). Next, I saw the driver of the bus, who had taken me from Montford to Robertson (one of the John/Jane Does 36), talk to the other Robertson-based John/Jane Does Defendants (36), whom immediately looked at me menacingly.
- (97). When I revealed that officers had injured me, nurse Jane Doe replied that if officers wanted to hurt me, she will not provide me with any medical treatment. Nurse Jane Doe pulled-back behind the officer (another John Doe 36) who was holding the list of incoming prisoners. The officer told me to shut-up and to go to Administrative-Segregation, where the transit section is located.
- (98). The Robertson-based John/Jane does Defendants certain duties when the process the incoming prisoners being detained in the transit building of Administrative-Segregation. Such a duty is report in writing the injuries sustained by the arriving prisoners. In order to deny me much needed medical treatments for my serious medical conditions, the John/Jane Does Defendants had to knowingly and willfully combine, conspire, and agree with one another to knowingly falsify

and/or make entries in their records (about my injuries) to conceal their wrongful acts (of having denied me much-needed medical treatments for the injuries) and with the intend to impede, obstruct, and influence the investigations of, for example, my grievance # 2016160882 (EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 05) of their wrongful and illegal acts.

(99). In the lobby area of the transit building of Administrative-Segregation, the prisoners who knew that I had been brutalized and I alerted Sergeant Lopez (34). Upon learning that I had been injured by officers, Sergeant Lopez refused to call medical, and denied me access to medical services, whereas, I was experiencing such severe generalized pain that I could barely walk. Sergeant Lopez's demeanor and conduct was evidence that he had willfully combined, conspired, and agreed with co-conspirators that they would injure, oppress, threaten, intimidate me in my free exercise and enjoyments of the right secured and protected by the Constitution of the U.S. to not be subjected to cruel and unusual punishment by one acting under color of law.

(100). "Blue" (35) is the medical official dispensing the prescribed medications to the prisoners held inside the cells of the transit building at Robertson. I alerted Defendant "Blue" of my need for medical treatments. When "Blue" looked at me from the outside of the cell she was initially horrified and told me that she will file a notice to have me brought to the infirmary for treatments. However, I was never taken to the infirmary. Instead, the security officials insulted me, denied me access to the food-trays and turned on the bright lights inside my assigned cell, from the control picket (located at the center of the pod possibly fifty feet away), to prevent me from sleeping.

(101). Once "Blue" had become aware of my need for medical care and after she understood that I was going to be denied medical care – regardless of the fact that she may have initially wanted me to be provided with medical care - she had to knowingly and willfully combine, conspire and agree with the other co-conspirators to deny me medical care, due process, and to knowingly falsify and/or make entries in her records to impede, obstruct, and influence the investigations of, for example, my grievance # 2016160882 (EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 05), about her illegal acts.

(102). Per the available evidence known to me, Lynaugh prison-based medical official SAMUEL B. ITIE (37) did not initially know that I had been brutalized by officials. His comments indicated that SAMUEL B. ITIE assumed that I had been brutalized by prisoners. When officials MICHELLE D. SELLERS (40), the Lynaugh prison-based Director of Classification and Lynaugh prison-based mental-health official ROXIE INGRAM (38) (who acts as liaison with the Montford psychiatric prison) informed the Lynaugh prison officials that I had been injured by officials at Montford, the Lynaugh-based medical officials denied me much-needed medical treatments and the Lynaugh-based security officials blocked my access to the medical services.

(103). For example, during the initial examination held on June 10-2016, medical supervisor Samuel B. Itie made several attempts to call the Regional Medical Director to obtain approval to have me rushed to the hospital for emergency medical treatment for the Traumatic Brain Injury – which required critical immediate treatment - and to ascertain whether the broken ribs had perforated or punctured my lung(s). In between the attempts to reach by telephone the Regional Medical Director, Defendant Michelle D. Sellers (40) called on the telephone medical supervisor Samuel B. Itie. Next, Samuel B. Itie told me to temporarily step-out of his office and to sit on a chair outside his office.

A few minutes later, Defendant Michelle D. Sellers arrived and stayed at a distance in one of the hallway. Samuel B. Itie walked toward her and the two of them conversed for about five minutes. When Defendant Samuel B. Itie came back he was tensed, told me that I no longer needed to receive emergency medical treatment at the hospital; and promptly terminated the examination without renewing the medications prescribed by the John Sealy hospital-based neurologists, for the previous Traumatic Brain Injuries, which Samuel B. Itie had said he would renew for the Montford Traumatic Brain Injury.

(104). Per my step one and two grievance # 2016170365 (EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 09), my following requests to get meaningful and timely medical, dental and mental-health treatments for my injuries were blocked by the Lynaugh officials some of whom are identified by names and the others as the John/Jane Does Defendants (46).

(105). As a medical supervisor, Defendant Samuel B. Itie has a duty to compile medical reports based on the facts he witnesses during his examinations, and to provide me with the much-needed medical treatments. Following the above reported meeting with Defendant Michelle D. Sellers, Defendant Samuel B. Itie denied me due process to skirt liability for the above constitutional violations. Further, Defendant Samuel B. Itie willfully combined, conspired, and agreed with Defendant Michelle D. Sellers and co-conspirators (e.g., Defendants Roxie Ingram (38) and M. Fuentes (39)) that they would, while under color of law, injure, oppress, threaten, and intimidate me in my free exercise and enjoyment of the rights, secured and protected by the Constitution of the united states not to be subjected to cruel and unusual punishment.

(106). Furthermore, Defendant Samuel B. Itie knowingly and willfully combined, conspired and agreed with the other Defendants to obstruct Justice by knowingly falsifying and/or making entries in the medical records with the intend to impede, obstruct, and influence the investigations of, for example, my grievance # 2016170365 (EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 09).

(107). I was incarcerated, in the Texas prison, for a period of about twenty-eight (28) years. I have been assigned to several prisons. It is my experience that the organized gangs exist because of the conditions of incarceration inside the Texas prisons combined with the fact that the Texas prison administration uses the gangs to advance certain agendas.

(108). Upon request from the court, I will provide detailed information on this matter.

(109). The Lynaugh prison is divided, by a high fence, into two large sections. On one side the administration does not allow the gangs. On the other side, the gangs rule the housing assignments.

(110). On Thursday June 09-2016. When I arrived from Montford to Lynaugh, I was interviewed by senior official Michelle D. Sellers, the powerful Director of Classification.

(111). Then and there I reported to the entire Unit Classification Committee that I had been severely injured and that the injuries were making me even more helpless and vulnerable. I stated the reasons why I would be victimized by the gang members. These exact reasons are also reported in my grievance # 2016163422 (EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 10). Moreover, the Lynaugh-based Defendants in general, and Defendant Michelle D. Sellers in particular knew, or should have known from their classification records about me, that I needed protection from the gang members. Furthermore, during my meeting with Defendant Michelle D. Sellers I repeatedly asked to be placed in the housing assignment know as: SAFEKEEPING, citing the injuries sustained at Montford to be an additional emergency reason. Notwithstanding the facts in my situation and my repeated pleas for protection, Defendant Michelle D. Sellers had me housed in the most dangerous housing assignment at Lynaugh, a large portion of it housed active gang members, and another portion being G4 prisoners to whom I was never supposed to have any contact with.

(112). The officials did not control the housing assignment in which Defendant Michelle D. Sellers had me placed. Instead, the gangs had established their rules. For example, because I had always refused to join any gang during my entire incarceration, I was not allowed to sit at either the tables or on the benches. I had to stand-up until the officials – who were binging the drugs to the gang members – were told by the gang members to allow me inside my assigned cell. Due to my injuries sustained at Montford combined with the denials of much-needed medical treatments, I could not keep standing. To avoid the ordeal, I stayed in my assigned cell often unable to go to, for example, the dining-room to aliment myself, the medication dispensary to take my prescribed medications...

(113). To survive, I had to occasionally purchase food items from the commissary store. Consequently, I became the victim of extortion having to, for example, purchase drugs from the gang members to be allowed to keep some food items.

(114). I was also physically harmed – in more than one way - by the gang members to force me to spend more money at the commissary store.

(115). There were too many daily mistreatments/abuses... for me to report each one of them. Moreover, doing so is further complicated by the facts that the officials working with the gang members do not wear their name-tags making identifying them impossible for me to do.

(116). Defendant Roxie Ingram (38) stated that she had been employed at Lynaugh for a period of about twenty years. And that she knew that the officials at Lynaugh were corrupt and the acts of violence, also committed by the numerous gang members, included rape and severe injuries.

(117). Roxie Ingram admitted that she was the liaison medical official between Lynaugh and Montford (e.g., Montford informs her of what happened to the prisoners returning to Lynaugh), and that she informed Classification Director M. Sellers & Warden Glen Whitfield, among others.

(118). Roxie Ingram admitted that I was at risk of great harm, possibly death, due to the following:

- My national origin (I am the only citizen of France):
- My serious medical and psychiatric conditions which make me vulnerable, easy prey and unable to defend myself;
- My religion and spiritual practices which most persons neither understand nor respect;
- My law-abiding background which singles me out among the prevalent criminal culture;
- The fact that my stepfather, the victim of the homicide in my case, was a Texas prison official;
- The injuries I had sustained at Montford which made unable to even seek help.

(119). The practice of my religious/spiritual Tradition helps me manage the chronic pains I suffer. During the Transfer from Ramsey to Lynaugh, I lost control/access to most of my religious literature and items. I was left with an approved - as evidenced by the property papers issued to me by the prison administration - religious medallion. On Thursday June 02-2016, the day I arrived at Lynaugh, Defendant Paul M. Robinson illegally seized my religious medallion to intimidate me and to spiritually "weaken" me by impressing upon my mind that there were no rules at Lynaugh. The religious medallion was left in the infirmary.

(120). When I returned to Lynaugh, on Thursday June 09-2016, Roxie Ingram saw me injured. On that occasion, I asked her about my religious medallion which she found concealed/hidden at the nurse station. Instead, of returning the religious medallion to me Roxie Ingram – who had no legal authority to touch my religious medallion – gave it back to Defendant Paul Robinson who illegally took it a second time. This time the religious medallion disappeared. It was never returned to me.

(121). Based on the Defendants conduct and demeanor, I believe that sensing the importance the religious medallion had upon me, sensing that it gave me support/comfort, and to weaken/undermine me at my core by indirectly attacking my spiritual Tradition, Roxie Ingram colluded with Sergeant Paul Robinson to retaliate against me by depriving me of an essential religious item when I was most vulnerable. This was done in violation of established laws, such as the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

(122). When I reported being victimized by members of the Lynaugh organized criminal gangs, Roxie Ingram then nonchalantly stated that:

- She does not do anything other than talk to the prisoners;
- She could not help me with anything;
- I was wasting everybody's time with my requests for medical care and for protection that she did not care to hear;
- Huntsville had sent me to Lynaugh to get harmed;
- If I felt like killing myself, I just needed to tell security who will then place me in a refrigerated cell in segregation (i.e., subject me to temperature extreme in solitary confinement).
- (123). Per my medical restriction (see EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 03), I must be kept in a cell alone (also see entries 64 and 65, respectively). In retaliation for seeking medical treatments for the injuries sustained at Montford, Defendants Roxie Ingram and Michelle D. Sellers had prisoners to force entry inside my assigned cell on Thursday June 16-2016. This can be verified with reviewing the records kept by the classification dept. at Lynaugh. These acts triggered severe and frequent Post Traumatic Stress Disorder and Traumatic Brain Injury symptoms. And they exacerbated my fears. Moreover, the above acts encouraged the gang members to continue to victimize me as they sensed that the administration wanted for me to harmed.

(124). Roxie Ingram worsened my ailments and denied me much-needed medical treatment.

(125). Roxie Ingram willfully combined, conspired, and agreed with, for example, Defendants Michelle D. Sellers (40), M. Fuentes (39), Paul M. Robinson (41), Heather M. Gonzales (42), Raul Melero (43), Sheila R. Lehnert (44), Glen H. Whitfield (45)... that they would, while acting color of law, injure, oppress, threaten, and intimidate me in my free exercise and enjoyment of the right, secured and protected by the Constitution of the United States, not to be subjected to cruel and unusual punishment by one acting under color of law.

(126). Roxie Ingram knowingly and willfully combined, conspired, and agreed with her co-conspirators to obstruct Justice by knowingly falsifying and/or making entries in records, such as my medical records, with the intend to impede, obstruct, and influence the investigations of, for example, my grievances.

(127). Defendant Michelle D. Sellers (40), the Director of Classification at Lynaugh, denied my repeated requests for protection from the organized gang members at Lynaugh. I made requests for protection on Thursday June 02-2016, Thursday June 09-2016... The denial of safe housing assignment exacerbated my fear, and my serious medical conditions. And created conditions which encouraged the gang members to victimize me.

(128). I hereby repeat, and incorporate by reference, the content of the previous entries and their reports of intentional acts of retaliation. To be clear, Defendants Michelle D. Sellers and Roxie Ingram colluded in a particularly close and egregious manner.

(129). Michelle D. Sellers knowingly and willfully combined, conspired, and agreed with the certain Defendants to obstruct Justice by knowingly falsifying and/or making entries in records (such the particularly important classification records) with the intend to impede, obstruct, and influence the investigations of, for example, my grievances attached to this complaint as exhibits.

(130). Defendant M. Fuentes (39) as many responsibilities. Among them is the duty to assure that access to medical services is not blocked by medical and/or security officials. At first, I alerted M. Fuentes by writing to her about, for example, my "Sick-Call Request" forms not being returned to me, and the medical department not responding. Next, I filed grievance # 2016170365 (EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 09). M. Fuentes failed to provide me with a truthful, relevant and material ruling. Instead, she referred to clerical entries basically saying that providing me with the appearance of medical care, as opposed to meaningful and timely medical treatment, is sufficient.

(131). Defendant M. Fuentes' acts caused me to be denied access to certain much-needed medical services during a period of time when I was severely injured and unable to care for myself. The denials had far reaching consequences. For example, the denials of much-needed medical treatments for the injuries sustained at Montford made that much more difficult for me to access the medication dispensary to take my prescribed medications creating an infernal loop of pain and suffering.

- (132). Defendant M. Fuentes's ruling to my grievance # 2016170365 denied me due process.
- (133). Defendant M. Fuentes knowingly and willfully combined, conspired, and agreed with one another and with the other Defendants to obstruct Justice by knowingly falsifying and/or making entries in the records to impede, obstruct, and influence the investigations e.g., of my grievance.

- (134). Per the above entries, Defendant Paul M. Robinson (41) caused me harmed, shortly after I arrived at Lynaugh by illegally taking my religious medallion and colluded with Defendant Roxie Ingram to harm me; thus, violating my First Amendment right.
- (135). Defendant Paul M. Robinson, who patrols the main building used his position to block my access to the medical services. And threatened me with disciplinary cases if I were to report being in need of medical treatments.
- (136). On several occasions between June 10-2016 and June 22-2016 when I was able to escape my assigned building under the pretext of going to the prisoners' dining-room, I approached Defendant Paul M. Robinson, reported that I was being victimized and harmed by members of the organized gang, and asked for protection. Defendant Paul M. Robinson ordered me to immediately return to the very same housing I had escaped from and to not speak to anyone about anything.
- (137). On Monday June 20-2016, and upon leaving the area where I had been intimidated by Defendants Heather M. Gonzales (42) and Raul Melero (43), I asked Defendant Sheila R. Lehnert (44), the grievance supervisor, for assistant in retrieving my religious medallion. Then and there, Defendant Paul M. Robinson appeared and declared aloud, as Defendant Sheila R. Lehnert was listening a few feet away, "I took your religious medallion". And that it was in Property Supervisor Carolina Galindo's office, whereas, this was not true. Defendant Paul M. Robinson illegally took my religious medallion for the reason stated above (e.g., retaliation), and then denied me due process, in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment, thus causing me unnecessary harm.
- (138). It was part of the manner and means of the conspiracy that Defendant Paul M. Robinson would unjustifiably retaliate against me for having reported having been brutalized, for seeking much-needed medical and psychiatric treatments for my serious medical conditions itemized above, and for seeking protection from the members of the organized criminal gangs at Lynaugh.

(139). Defendant Paul M. Robinson knowingly and willfully combined, conspired, and agreed with certain Defendants to obstruct justice by knowingly falsifying and/or making entries in the records with the intend to impede, obstruct, and influence the investigations in grievances # 2016163422 (EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 10) and # 2016171719 (EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 11).

(140). Defendant Heather M. Gonzales (42), a Sergeant with the duty to investigate/prosecute crimes within the TDCJ, called to her office on or about 4 PM, Monday June 20-2016.

(141). Heather Gonzales angrily asked me why I had filed the grievance against Sergeant Marco Gonzalez (21) [i.e., grievance # 2016158831. EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 04] about the assault at Montford on Monday June 06-2016. I stated that per the established rules/policies I had reported the events and that all the officials at Montford, Robertson, and Lynaugh had violated the rules, policies and the laws by refusing to take photographs of my wounds and injuries. I asked Heather Gonzales to take photographs of my wounds and injuries. Heather Gonzales became furious and insulted me, however, she eventually took two photos one from the back and one from the front making sure that my clothes were covering my wounds and injuries. No photos of the wounds and injuries were taken.

(142). Next, Heather Gonzales took me to the infirmary where a nurse, who appeared to be intimidated by Heather Gonzales, briefly looked at me and took a few notes. I asked the nurse to have photos taken of my wounds and injuries. The nurse looked at Heather Gonzales who motioned her head signifying No, and then refused to take any photo. Heather Gonzales ordered me to go to Captain Raul Menero' office.

(143). Defendant Raul Melero (43), is captain with the duty to investigate/prosecute crimes within

the TDCJ. Raul Melero ordered me to write a statement, however, he made me understand to not

accuse any official of any wrongdoing. Intimidated, I reported my injuries, that I was in pain.

distressed, and wanted to be transferred back to the Ramsey prison. I then explained that I requested

to be transferred back to Ramsey for protection because the Ramsey officials do not tolerate the

organized criminal gangs which were victimizing me at Lynaugh. I again asked for SAFEKEEPING

housing. Defendant Raul Melero laughed at me, shook his head as if he I were an idiot for asking

for protection, and Defendant Raul Melero ordered me to return to my cell.

(144). Defendant Heather Gonzales ordered me to say that nothing had happened at Montford. I

replied that a video-recording was made of the brutal acts I sustained. Heather Gonzales kept

badgering me as if she was going to assault me. I kept saying, please look at the video-recording.

I restated my request to be placed in SAFEKEEPING housing, and Defendant Heather Gonzales told

me to leave.

(145). On Wednesday June 22-2016, I was called to the infirmary to have x-rays done by Texas

officials, as opposed to fee-world medical staff members. Overwhelmed by fear, because the

Defendants Heather Gonzales and Raul Melero had intimidated me and implicitly threatened me

to not report having been injured, I signed a so-called refusal form - which is kept in my medical

records – upon which I wrote:

I REFUSE BECAUSE AS A RESULT OF MONDAY JUNE 20-2016

INTERROGATORY I FEAR COMPLICATIONS WITH THE OFFICIALS.

(146). Defendants Heather Gonzales and Raul Melero denied me due process by obstructing Justice, to skirt liability, in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment;

(147). Defendants Heather Gonzales and Raul Melero showed deliberate indifference to my health and safety; thus, violating my rights granted under the Eighth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

(148). Defendants Heather Gonzales and Raul Melero willfully combined, conspired, and agreed with one another and with the other Defendants that they would under color of law, injure, oppress, threaten, and intimidate me in my free exercise and enjoyment of the right, secured and protected by the Constitution of the United States.

(149). Defendants Heather Gonzales and Raul Melero knowingly and willfully combined, conspired, and agreed with one another and certain other Defendants to obstruct Justice by knowingly falsifying and/or making false entries in the records with the intend to impede, obstruct, and influence the investigations.

(150). Defendant Sheila R. Lehnert (44) had the legal duty to preserve the reliability and the integrity of the facts finding process when she conducted the investigations of the prisoners' grievances. Moreover, Sheila R. Lehnert was physically present when Defendant Paul M. Robinson said that he had personally [and illegally] taken my religious medallion (see entry: 137). Notwithstanding the above, following the investigation conducted by Sheila R. Lehnert, in grievance # 2016171719 (EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 11), Defendant Paul M. Robinson was absolved of any wrongdoing making a mockery of the grievance process.

- (151). Sheila R. Lehnert corrupted the grievance process in every grievance I submitted that she investigated, making the violations a matter of custom/practice/policy.
- (152). Sheila R. Lehnert denied me due process, to skirt liability, in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment.
- (153). Sheila R. Lehnert knowingly and willfully combined, conspired, and agreed with the other Defendants to obstruct Justice by knowingly falsifying and/or making entries in records to impede, obstruct, and influence the investigations of my grievances, and by extension, of this lawsuit since my grievances are used as exhibits in my lawsuits.
- (154). As the Warden of the Linaugh, Defendant Glen H. Whitfield (45) had access to information due to the fact that he reviewed the prisoners' grievances including but not limited to my grievances which he signed. Defendant Glen H. Whitfield had the duty to take the necessary corrective actions, such as preventing the members of the organized criminal gangs from victimizing the most helpless and vulnerable prisoners, such as me.
- (155). The rulings issued in Step One grievance # 2016163422 and in grievance # 2016171719 (EXHIBITS: COMPLAINT # 10 and # 11, respectively) by Defendant Glen H. Whitfield are evidences that Defendant Glen H. Whitfield, a supervisor, has denied me due process in violation to the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution. Defendant Glen H. Whitfield:
  - A. Directly participated in the violation of knowingly, combining, conspiring, and agreeing with the other Linaugh-based Defendants to falsifying and/or making entries in the records

     such as my grievances referenced above with the intend to impede, obstruct, and influence the investigations.

- B. Learned of the violations of my rights, when he read my grievances which he signed –
   and failed to take the necessary corrective actions;
- C. Created practices/customs/policies allowing or encouraging the illegal acts herein;
- D. Failed to adequately train and/or supervise his and her subordinates per the above facts.
- (156). The Lynaugh-based John/Jane Does Defendants (46) have colluded with the defendants identified above in certain violations. Who did what with whom will be revealed during discovery of the records compiled by the known defendants, their depositions and their interrogatories.
- (157). The denials of much-needed medical, dental and psychiatric treatments experienced at Lynaugh caused me severe and prolonged unnecessary pain and suffering, in violation to the Eight Amendment to the U.S. Constitution. The victimizations inflicted upon me by the members of the organized gangs, which were given impunity by corrupt officials have shattered whatever trust I had left in the officials. This is making functioning into society that much more difficult.
- (158). The officials with the Step II Medical Grievance Program Office of Professional Standards TDCJ Health Service Division remain unknown to skirt being held liable. Hence, they are listed as John/Jane Does Defendants (47). The identity of those who issued the ruling in step two of grievance # 2016170365 (EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 09) will be revealed during discovery.
- (159). The ruling issued in Step Two grievance # 2016170365 (EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 09) by Defendants John/Jane Does (47) is evidence that the John/Jane Does Defendants have denied me due process, in violation to the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, by invoking clerical entries compiled to deceive and manipulate the readers by ignoring the facts supported by the available evidences. For example, at times, I was prevented from leaving my housing

assignment by gang members, as a result of being intimidated by certain officials, too impaired to go to the medical department... As a result, I continued to be denied much-needed medical care in violation to the Eight Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

#### (160). The John/Jane Does Defendants (47):

- A. Directly participated in the violation of knowingly combining, conspiring, and agreeing with the other Lynaugh-based Defendants to falsifying and/or making entries in the records such as my grievance referenced above with the intend to impede, obstruct, and influence the investigations.
- B. Learned of the violations of my rights when, for example, they read my grievance which they collectively signed -..., and failed to take the necessary corrective actions;
- C. Created practices/customs/policies allowing or encouraging the illegal acts reported herein;
- D. Failed to adequately train and/or supervise their subordinates at Lynaugh per the above facts.
- (161). The ruling issued in Step Two of grievance # 2016171719 (EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 11) by Defendant K. Ward (48) is evidence that Defendant K. Ward, a supervisor, has denied me due process in violation to the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution. Defendant K. Ward:
  - A. Directly participated in the violation of knowingly combining, conspiring, and agreeing with the other Linaugh-based Defendants to falsifying and/or making entries in the records
    such as my grievance referenced above with the intend to impede, obstruct, and influence the investigations.
  - B. Learned of the violations of my rights, when, for example, the official read my grievanceswhich the official signed and failed to take the necessary corrective actions;
  - C. Created practices/customs/policies allowing or encouraging the illegal acts reported herein;
  - D. Failed to adequately train and/or supervise his/her subordinates per the above facts.

(162). The rulings issued in Step Two of grievance # 2016160882 and grievance # 2017141526 (EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # **05** and # **06**, respectively) by Defendant C. Martinez (49) is evidence that Defendant C. Martinez, a supervisor, has denied me due process in violation to the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

#### Defendant C. Martinez:

- A. Directly participated in the violation of knowingly combining, conspiring, and agreeing with the other Linaugh-based Defendants to falsifying and/or making entries in the records such as my grievance referenced above with the intend to impede, obstruct, and influence the investigations.
- B. Learned of the violations of my rights, when, for example, the Defendant read my grievances which the Defendant signed and failed to take the necessary corrective actions;
- C. Created practices/customs/policies allowing or encouraging the illegal acts reported herein;
- D. Failed to adequately train and/or supervise his/her subordinates per the above facts.
- (163). The ruling issued in Step Two of grievance # 2016163422 (EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 10) by Defendant M. Blalock (50) is evidence that Defendant M. Blalock, a supervisor, has denied me due process in violation to the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution. Defendant M. Blalock:
  - A. Directly participated in the violation of knowingly combining, conspiring, and agreeing with the other Lynaugh-based Defendants to falsifying and/or making entries in the records such as my grievance referenced above with the intend to impede, obstruct, and influence the investigations.
  - B. Learned of the violations of my rights, when, for example, the Defendant read my grievances which the Defendant signed and failed to take the necessary corrective actions;

- C. Created practices/customs/policies allowing or encouraging the illegal acts reported herein;
- D. Failed to adequately train and/or supervise his/her subordinates per the above facts.

(164). The ruling issued in Step Two of grievance # 2016158831 (EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # **04**) by Defendant Steve Massie (51) is evidence that Defendant Steve Massie, a supervisor, has denied me due process in violation to the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

#### Defendant Steve Massie:

- A. Directly participated in the violation of knowingly combining, conspiring, and agreeing with the other Linaugh-based Defendants to falsifying and/or making entries in the records
  such as my grievance referenced above with the intend to impede, obstruct, and influence the investigations.
- B. Learned of the violations of my rights, when, for example, the official read my grievances which the official signed and failed to take the necessary corrective actions;
- C. Created practices/customs/policies allowing or encouraging the illegal acts reported herein;
- D. Failed to adequately train and/or supervise his/her subordinates per the above facts.
- (165). Defendants Katherine Bell (52) and Maggie Shillaci (53) both supervisors with the TDCJ Office of the Administrative Monitor for Use of Force, have strictly defeated all the efforts to obtain the video-recording in the present case. I believe that the Texas prison officials have such proclivity for violence because they knew, in advance, that the officials named as Defendants in this lawsuit were going to protect them. Stated another way, it is Defendants Katherine Bell and Maggie Shillaci's practice/custom/policies of concealing/suppressing relevant and materials exhibits which encouraged the illegal acts herein.

- (166). Defendants Katherine Bell and Maggie Schillaci have obstructed Justice thus, violating my due process right which is protected by the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.
- (167). Next to the name of each Defendant appears one exhibit attached not to prove my claims against the defendant but to establish to this Court' satisfaction that the defendant is indeed personally involved to my case and legal claims for having denied me the most relevant and material evidence in this lawsuit, the critically important video-recording:
- Katheryn Bell: My grievance # 2017141526 (EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 06);
- Maggie Schillaci: Basic Information dated 10/10/16 (EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 12).
- (168). The John/Jane Does Defendants with State Classification Committee (54). The SCC is the organism which has the greatest impact on the prisoners well beings, as it decides the prisoners' units of assignment. The SCC can keep a prisoner to a prison located near his relatives, or it can send him to a prison essentially controlled by the organized gang members... to experience severe hardships and complications. The SCC keeps detailed records about every prisoner. The SCC knows where a prisoner is safe and where he will be harmed.
- (169). Before transferring me to Lynaugh, the Defendants with the State Classification Committee had in their records all the information in my grievance # 2016163422 (EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 05). (170). Usually, prisoners are transferred as punishment for having been disciplined for infractions. I had not been given any disciplinary case prior to being transferred to Lynaugh, a prison staffed by many corrupt officials and which housed hundreds of gang members. Moreover, I am only familiar with the Houston metropolitan area. My depressive disorder could not only get worse by transferring me to a prison located far from Houston. Even without the harms inflicted by the gang members, Lynaugh would have exacerbated by Post Traumatic Stress Disorder and my Traumatic Brain Injury Symptoms. I was transferred to Lynaugh, by the SCC, to be harmed; And I was harmed.

(171). The facts stated in this Complaint, its exhibits, and this lawsuit's other pleadings show that the John/Jane Does defendants with the State Classification Committee (54) were deliberately indifferent to my health and safety in violation to the Eighth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

(172). Based on the information uncovered when I was returned to Ramsey, it is now clear that the John/Jane Does Defendants with the State Classification Committee transferred me to Lynaugh in retaliation because I had expressed the desire, in the Ramsey prison law library, to file a religious lawsuit in the Houston federal court. Therefore, when they transferred me in retaliation the Defendants violated the rights granted to me under the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution. I allege a continuing violation until I was returned to Ramsey in December of 2016.

(173). Until my release from the Texas prison system, in February of 2018, I was denied meaningful access to the prison law library, on several occasions the Texas prison officials seized my legal materials to block my access to the courts, I was threatened to NOT file the present lawsuit, the officials continued to deny me much-needed medical treatments to incapacitate me (rending me, at times, of <u>Unsound Mind</u> due to, for example, my recurring severe depressive episodes).

Upon request from this Court, I will provide the available evidences in support of the above.

(174). Considering the above, I was uncapable and unable to prosecute my legal claims from prison. Thus, I attempted to interest attorneys; However, the attorneys wanted to see the video-recording in the case referenced as: MA-03534-06-16 Montford Unit, before deciding to take the case.

(175). My relatives and I made repeated efforts to obtain the video-recording. The defendants named below, colluded to prevent my relatives, the media (such as: The Lubbock Avalanche Journal, The Texas Tribune, Texas Monthly...) and I from obtaining the video-recording. The

above defendants' well-orchestrated collusion, essentially, denied me access to an attorney at the time when the Texas prison officials were preventing me from accessing the courts.

- (176). I was the victim of the crime committed against the United States on Monday June 06-2016, at Montford i.e., I saw what happened. The only reason the above Defendants refuse to release the video-recording is to cover-up the crime. It is to suppress and conceal relevant and material evidences to skirt liability. It is to corrupt the integrity of the facts finding process.
- (177). For the reasons stated above, I believe that Defendants: Zeke Tisdale (55), Nicholas Morrell (56), Sharon Felfe Howell (57), and Ken Paxton (58):
  - A. Directly participated in the violation of my Constitutional rights by knowingly making entries in the records with the intend to impede, obstruct, and influence the investigations; thus, violating my due process.
  - B. Learned of the violations of my rights when, for example, they saw the video-recording they have refused to release, and failed to take the necessary corrective actions;
  - C. Created practices/customs/policies allowing or encouraging the illegal acts reported herein by: Concealing/suppressing the relevant and material evidences, corrupting the integrity of the fact finding process, and allowing the prison-based officials to skirt liability;
  - D. Failed to adequately train and/or supervise their subordinates per the above facts to, possibly, perpetuate the illegal practices/customs/policies used by the prison-based Texas officials.

(178). Next to the name of each defendants appears one exhibit attached not to prove my claims against the defendant but to establish to this court's satisfaction that the defendant is personally involved to my case and legal claims for having denied me the most relevant and material evidence in this lawsuit, the critically important video-recording:

- Zeke Tisdale: Email dated April 17/18 (EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 13);
- Nicholas Morrell: Two letters dated April 25/2018 (EXHIBITS: COMPLAINT # 14 & # 15);
- Sharon Felfe Howell: Two letters dated April 25/18 (EXHIBITS: COMPLAINT #14 & #15),

  My email dated Monday May 28/2018 (EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT #16);
- Ken Paxton: Letter dated April 25/2018 (EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 15).

(179). The events which occurred at Montford, in June of 2016, have been so traumatic that due to my fears of being returned to a Texas prison psychiatric unit in general, and Montford in particular, I underreported my PTSD symptoms until I was released from the Texas prison system, in February of 2018. As a result, I did not receive the much-needed follow-up psychiatric treatments.

(180). Two years after the events which have occurred at the Montford Unit, I continue to suffer from the following chronic serious medical conditions:

- Dental pains;
- Traumatic Brain Injury symptoms;
- Decreased vision in my right eye;
- Decreased earing in my right ear;
- Neurological pain and numbness radiating from my neck;
- Pain in my knees.

(181). I certify that the above referenced and attached exhibits/documents are true and correct copies of the original documents. The exhibits/documents and the video-recording mentioned in the Statement of Facts and this lawsuit other pleadings are incorporated - and made a part hereofby reference to this Complaint for all purposes. For this reason and in the interest of Justice, this Complaint should be used, and reconciled, with the missing video-recording, which the Texas officials have refused to release since June of 2016.

(182). NOTICE TO INFORM THE COURT: EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 02, which pertains to a preexisting history of Traumatic Brain Injury, shows only half of the page. For readability/convenience, I only carried half of the page of the document when I was released from the Texas prison system. The complete page will be made available during discovery, when the medical records are released. Markings have been added to the exhibits.

(183). Plaintiff believes that the video being sought in his MOTION AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW FOR AN EMERGENCY TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION shows most of the facts reported above. Further, the video would help in identifying the security/medical officials who witnessed the illegal acts and failed/refused to intervene.

(184). Additionally, plaintiff believes that the video being sought in his MOTION AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW FOR AN EMERGENCY TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION would help in identifying the unidentified security and medical officials who willfully combined, conspired and agreed with one another and with co-conspirators that they would, while acting under color of law, injure, oppress, threaten, and intimidate me in the free exercise and enjoyment of the right, secured and protected by the Constitution of the United States, not to be subjected to cruel and unusual punishment by one acting under color of law.

# CAUSES OF ACTION ALL THE CLAIMS AGAINST ALL THE DEFENDANTS

- 42 USC § 1983 (e.g., Civil Conspiracy/Conspiracy to Deprive Constitutional Rights;

Failure to Intervene, to Supervise and to Train;

Deliberate Indifference to Plaintiff' Safety;

Deliberate Indifference to Plaintiff' Serious Medical Needs:

Violation of Due Process;

Retaliation...)

- 42 USC § 1985 (e.g., Conspiracy to Violate Civil Rights);
- 42 USC § 1986;
- Conspiracy to Falsify and/or Omit Required Reports;
- Conspiracy to Destroy Videotape Evidence;
- Spoliation of Evidence/Interference with Right to Remedy;
- Intentional Tort;
- Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress;
- Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress;
- Recklessness;
- Negligence...

Plaintiff reasserts, re-allege, and incorporates by reference the foregoing factual allegations with the same force and effect as if herein set forth.

The foregoing factual allegations show that either all or some defendants violated the above laws/statutes and that they are liable per this Complaint's paragraphs: 01, 02, 03, 04, 05, 06, 07, 08, 09 and 10.

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff was deprived of the rights, privileges and immunities secured by, for example, the First, Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution.

Plaintiff also reports violations of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 29 U.S.C. §794, and Title II of the Americans with Disability Act (ADA), 42 U.S.C. §12131, et seq.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff request that this Court enter judgment in his favor and against Defendants:

- Awarding compensatory damages jointly and severally against the Defendants through the

Fourteenth Amendment, Section 1983, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101-12213 (2012), the American

with Disabilities Act, and the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, to include Plaintiff's injuries,

present and future loss of income, pain and suffering, emotional distress & mental anguish;

· Because the conduct of the individually-named Defendants was motivated by evil intent

and/or involves reckless or callous or deliberate indifference to Plaintiff's federally-

protected rights, Plaintiff request that this court enter judgement awarding him punitive

damages jointly and severally against the Defendants under Section 1983;

- Plaintiff also seeks to recover fees, costs, litigation expenses..., and such other and further

relief this Court deems just, proper and equitable.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff here demands a trial by jury pursuant to Federal Rule of civil Procedure 38 on all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted,

Signed this 31st day of May, 2018.

Charles Epley

epleylegal@gmail.com

# CORRECTIONAL MANAGED CARE OUTPATIENT MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES

I riage Inter	view			EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 01
Patient Nan TDCJ#:601	ne: EPLEY, CHARLES E 990		/06/2017 10:42 RAMSEY I (R1)	
	o apparent mental health needs urrently receiving mental health tre ther:	eatment		
□ 0' □ Re <b>■</b> Se	MHP sychiatrist/NP/PA ther: eturn to clinic in for followee as scheduled by current mental onlow up upon request or referral.	health provider	cedure explained to p	atient.
<b>Date Time</b> 11/6/2017 10:47AM	Description MH OP SICK CALL/REFERRAL TRIAGE (F)	Diagnosis posttraumatic stress disorder	Comments	Special Instructions
	Electronically Signed by ST Electronically Signed by HEI 11/09/2017. ##And No Others##	EVENS, VICTO IPLE, BRANDO	RIA A. BS, MHCM N G. MA, LPC-I, M	1 on 11/06/2017. IHC on

#### 

Correctional Managed Care REPORT OF PHYSICAL EXAM

**EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 02** 

Patient Name: EPLEY, CHARLES E TDCJ#: 601990 Date: 12/03/2012 12:49 Facility: RAMSEY I (R1)

Age: 55 year DOB: 08/18/1957 Race: W Sex: male

Most recent vitals from 12/3/2012: BP: 108 / 59 (Sitting); Wt: 149 Lbs.; Height: 71 In.; Pulse: 61 (Sitting); Resp: 16 /

min; Temp: 97.9 (Oral)

Allergies: SENSITIVITY TO CHEESE

Patient Language: ENGLISH Name of interpreter, if required:

**Current Medications:** 

TRAZODONE 50MG TABLET
3 TABS ORAL EVERY EVENING for 30 Days

ORDERING FACILITY: RAMSEY I (RI)
ORDERING PROVIDER: BEDARD, DORACE L

COMPLIANCE: 34.04 % REFILLS: 1 / 11 EXPIRATION DATE: 10/13/2013 11:43:00AM

Chief Complaint and Notes: complaints of headaches, intermittent confusion, dizziness, n/v, night mares from being kicked in the head 1994;

Significant Past Medical History: c/o Traumatic Brain Injury 1994

Family Hx: cant think right now

c:\users\elalmanz\local settings\temp\288378986.tif printed by mivap. (Page 1 of 1)

#### Scanned by HERNANDEZ, PATRICIA F. in facility LYNAUGH (LH) on 06/10/2016 12:15

CACALA	FRESSO4 /IBWQ/HS05 TE	XAS DEPARTMENT EALTH SUMMARY F	OF CRIMINAL JUST FOR CLASSIFICATION	TICE 12:08:51 ON 06/10/2016
A A. NO RESTRICTION B. BARRIER-PREE FACILITY C. SINGLE LEVEL FACILITY D. SUITABLE FOR TRUSTEE CAMP? YESX NO  II. HOUSING ASSIGNMENT A. BASIC HOUSING (CHECK ONE) 1. NO RESTRICTION OO 2. SINGLE CELL ONLY OO 2. SINGLE CELL ONLY 1. NO RESTRICTION 4. CELL BLOCK ONLY C. ROW ASSIGNMENT (CHECK ONE) 2. GROUND FLOOR ONLY 11. WORK ASSIGNMENT (CHECK ONE) 2. GROUND FLOOR ONLY 11. MEDICALLY UNASSIGNED 2. PSYCHIATRICALLY UNASSIGNED 3. SEDENTARY WORK ONLY 1. MEDICALLY UNASSIGNED OF C. EXTENDED HOURS SURFACES OF C. EXTENDED OF C. EXTENDED HOURS INSULIN OF C. END CREATER OF C. EXTENDED HOURS INSULIN OF C. END CREATER OF C. EXTENDED HOURS INSULIN OF C. EXTENDED HOURS OF C. EXTENDED HO	TDCJ#: 00601990 SID#: 04: UNIT: RB HOUSING:	E 167419 A-1-12T	DOB: 08/18/195 WGT: 150 LBS HGT: 5'11"	3 1 3 1 1 2 C A C A B P P A A B
A. BASIC HOUSING (CHECK ONE)  1. NO RESTRICTION  00 2. SINGLE CELL ONLY  3. SPECIAL HOUSING (HOUSING WITH  LIKE MEDICAL CONDITION  4. CELL BLOCK ONLY  C. ROW ASSIGNMENT (CHECK ONE)  2. GROUND FLOOR ONLY  1. NO RESTRICTION  2. GROUND FLOOR ONLY  1. MEDICALLY UNASSIGNED  2. PSYCHIATRICALLY UNASSIGNED  3. SEDENTARY WORK ONLY  1. MEDICALLY UNASSIGNED  4. FOUR HOUR WORK RESTRICTIONS  6. EXTENDED HOURS INSULIN  1. NO RESTRICTION  2. PHOP ORDERED  3. UTILITY USE  15. NO FOOD SERVICE  16. NO REPETITIVE USE OF HANDS  3. SEDENTARY WORK ONLY  17. NO WALK WET/UNEVEN SURFACES  6. EXTENDED HOUR WORK WESTRICTION  18. DO NOT ASSIGN TO MEDICAL  17. NO WALK WET/UNEVEN SURFACES  6. SECURSE FROM SCHOOL  10. NO BENDING AT WAIST  10. NO BENDING AT WAIST  11. NO REPETITIVE SURE ENVIRONMENT POLLUTANTS  11. NO REPETITIVE SURE OF HANDS  3. SUBLIFIED STANDING  12. NO CLIMBING  12. NO CLIMBING  13. LIMITED STUTING  14. NO RESTRICTIONS  14. NO RESTRICTIONS  B. CONSULT REP OF MENTAL HEALTH DEPT BEFORE TAKING DISCIPLINARY ACTION  V. INDIVIDUALIZED TREATMENT PLAN (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)  X. A. NO RESTRICTION  C. MENTAL HEALTH REPRESENTATIVE REQUIRED  VI. TRANSPORTATION RESTRICTIONS (CHECK ONE)  X. A. NO RESTRICTION C. WHEELCHAIR VAN E. VAN  B. EMS AMBULANCE  D. MULTI-PATIENT VEHICLE (MPV)  ITIE, 8  NP 06/10/2016	X A. NO RESTRICTION B. BARRIER-FREE FACILITY C. SINGLE LEVEL FACILITY			IT: COMPLAINT # 03
1. MEDICALLY UNASSIGNED 2. PSYCHIATRICALLY UNASSIGNED 3. SEDENTARY WORK ONLY 4. FOUR HOUR WORK RESTRICTION 6. EXCUSE FROM SCHOOL 7. LIMITED STANDING 8. NO WALKING > YARDS 7. VARDS 7.	A. BASIC HOUSING (CHECK ONE)  1. NO RESTRICTION  1. NO RESTRICTION  2. SINGLE CELL ONLY  3. SPECIAL HOUSING (HOUSING LIKE MEDICAL CONDITION  4. CELL BLOCK ONLY  C. ROW ASSIGNMENT (CHECK ONE)  X 1. NO RESTRICTION	G WITH 00	1. NO RESTRICTI 2. LOWER ONLY 5. M EXT HRS 6. EXTENDED HOU D. WHEELCHAIR US 1. NO RESTRICTI 2. PHOP ORDERED	ON  RS INSULIN  E (CHECK ONE)  ON
X A. NO RESTRICTIONS  B. CONSULT REP OF MENTAL HEALTH DEPT BEFORE TAKING DISCIPLINARY ACTION  C. CONSULT REP OF MEDICAL DEPARTMENT BEFORE TAKING DISCIPLINARY ACTION  V. INDIVIDUALIZED TREATMENT PLAN (CHECK ALL TTHAT APPLY)  X A. NO RESTRICTION  C. MENTAL HEALTH REPRESENTATIVE REQUIRED  B. MEDICAL REPRESENTATIVE REQUIRED  VI. TRANSPORTATION RESTRICTIONS (CHECK ONE)  X A. NO RESTRICTION  C. WHEELCHAIR VAN  E. VAN  B. EMS AMBULANCE  D. MULTI-PATIENT VEHICLE (MPV)  ITIE, S  NP  06/10/2016	1. MEDICALLY UNASSIGNED 2. PSYCHIATRICALLY UNASSIGN 3. SEDENTARY WORK ONLY 00 4. FOUR HOUR WORK RESTRICTI 6. EXCUSE FROM SCHOOL 00 7. LIMITED STANDING 8. NO WALKING > YARDS 60 9. NO LIFTING > 030 LBS. 10.NO BENDING AT WAIST 11.NO REPETITIVE SQUATTING 12.NO CLIMBING 13.LIMITED SITTING	15.NO F NED 00 16.NO R 17.NO W 18.DO N 00 19.NO W 00 20.NO T 00 21.NO H 00 22.NO E 00 23.NO W 24.NO W 00 25.NO W 00 26.NO W	OOD SERVICE EPETITIVE USE OF ALK WET/UNEVEN SI OT ASSIGN TO MED ORK IN DIRECT SU EMPERATURE EXTREM UMIDITY EXTREMES XPOSURE TO ENVIRO ORK WITH CHEMICAL ORK REQUIRING SAMOORK AROUND MACHIN	URFACES ICAL NLIGHT MES ONMENT POLLUTANTS LS OR IRRITANTS FETY BOOTS NE WITH MOVING PART
X A. NO RESTRICTION C. MENTAL HEALTH REPRESENTATIVE REQUIRED B. MEDICAL REPRESENTATIVE REQUIRED  VI. TRANSPORTATION RESTRICTIONS (CHECK ONE) X A. NO RESTRICTION C. WHEELCHAIR VAN E. VAN B. EMS AMBULANCE D. MULTI-PATIENT VEHICLE (MPV)  ITIE, S NP 06/10/2016	X A. NO RESTRICTIONS B. CONSULT REP OF MENTAL HE	EALTH DEPT BEFOR	RE TAKING DISCIP RE TAKING DISCIP	LINARY ACTION
	X A. NO RESTRICTION  B. MEDICAL REPRESENTATIVE R  VI. TRANSPORTATION RESTRICTION  X A. NO RESTRICTION C. WH  B. EMS AMBULANCE D. MU  ITIE, S NP	C. MENTAL REQUIRED  NS (CHECK ONE) HEELCHAIR VAN JLTI-PATIENT VER  06/10/2016	HEALTH REPRESENT  E. VAN  HICLE (MPV)	



# Texas Department of Criminal Justice

# **OFFENDER**

SIEP I GRIEVANCE FORM	Date Received: JUN 1 3 2010
Offender Name: CHARL'ES EPL'EY TDCJ# 601990	Grievance Code: DATSILI Investigator ID #: 1
Unit: LYNAUGH . Housing Assignment: A-1-12-B	
Unit where incident occurred: MONTFORD PSYCHIATRIC FACILITY	Extension Date:  Date Retd to Offender:
You must try to resolve your problem with a staff member before you submit a formal coappealing the results of a disciplinary hearing.  Who did you talk to (name, title)?  What was their response?  TO THREATEN ME WITH ADDITIONAL SERIOUS  What action was taken?	mplaint. The only exception is when  Z When? JUNE 07-2015  INJURIES (SEE BELOW).  WITHOUT MEDICAL CARE.
State your grievance in the space provided. Please state who, what, when, where and the	disciplinary case number if appropriate
and administrative officials knowingly and intentions BACKGROUNG FACTS: In March of 1994 and at the Michael Prison system I was repeatedly and severely brutalized by sical injuries, and I was diamosed with POST TRAIL I have been SINGLE-CELLED durings period of about to the SINGLE-CELLED durings period of about to the SINGLE-CELL medical restriction can only be revolutioned the SINGLE-CELL RESTRICTION. Thus, I have continued the SINGLE-CELL RESTRICTION. Thus, I have helevant and materials to the Linaugh Prison. I arrived at Linaugh on Thurs almost immediately transferred to MONTFORD where I was penalty of acts of violetered to MONTFORD where I was penalty of acts of violetered to MONTFORD where I was penalty of acts of violetered to MONTFORD where I was penalty of acts of violetered to MONTFORD where I was penalty of acts of violetered to MONTFORD where I was penalty of acts of violetered to MONTFORD where I was penalty of acts of violetered to MONTFORD where I was penalty of acts of violetered to MONTFORD where I was penalty of acts of violetered to MONTFORD where I was penalty of acts of violetered to MONTFORD where I was penalty of acts of violetered to MONTFORD where I was penalty of acts of violetered to MONTFORD where I was penalty of acts of violetered to MONTFORD where I was penalty of the violetered to was penalty of the vi	elly severely harmed me. el Prison of the Texas
Physical injuries, and I was diamosed with POST TAAI	MATIC STRESS DISORDER.
The SINGLE-CELL medical restriction can only be revol	venty (20) calandar years. ced by a medical doctor.
CONTINUED the SINGLE-CELL RESTRICTION. Thus, I have HELEVAN, AND MATCHIAL FACTS: Un Friday May 27-16 T be	been stable at RAMSEY.
to the LINAUGH Prison. I arrived at LINAUGH on Thurs simpet immediately transferred to MONTEDED where I	sday June 02-16. I was
to the LINAUGH Prison. I arrived at L'INAUGH on Thurs simost immediately transferred to MONTFORD where I was penalty of acts of violence) to sign two forms which my glasses had been taken from me. To show that I of forms I did not used my usual signature. Next, I was cell which resulted in sleep deprivation. The Isolate my ability to think. On Friday June 03-15, I was taken paychelogist, as opposed to a madical doctor. She in	l could not read because
cell which resulted in sleep deprivation. The isplot	placed naked in a cold
psychologist, as opposed to a medical doctor. She in	en to an unidentified
psychologist, as opposed to a medical doctor. She in benefit from pursuing educational edvancement at the HSM-IS's SINGLE-CELL restriction will not be rescinded was returned naked to the cold cell. I was NEVER EDUCATION OF A MEDICAL DOCTOR during my material and a service of the cold cell.	HAMSEY prison and that my
or a MEDICAL DOCTOR during my entire stay at MONTFORD	During the PM hours on
I was raturned maked to the cold cell. I was NEVER or a MEDICAL DOCTOR during my entire stay at MONTFORD Monday June 06-16. I was taken to a four men cell occurrence. I have never seen before. I had attack. I was unable to enter the occupied four men cessentined by a psychiatrist/medical doctor. I was planed by a psychiatrist/medical doctor. I was planed by a psychiatrist/medical doctor. I was planed by a psychiatrist/medical doctor. I was waiting flashbacks, and feeling of unreality during which the to be moving and I thought that I was desaming. I example the state of the security official made me believe that the doctors Suddenly, through a special opening in the door, ges	tieshback and an enxiety
examined by a psychiatrist/medical doctor. I was plancom" maked except for an underwear. As I was weiting	ced into en empty "day-
flashbacks, and feeling of unreality during which the to be moving and I thought that I was deceming. I ex	walls around me appeared parianced intense feet
Suddenly, through a special opening in the doctors Suddenly, through a special opening in the door, gas	were coming to talk to me. was shot at me from what
At one point, I tried to praye the the door to all handcuff me. From the outside, through the door to all handcuff me. From the outside, through the door to all	d and unable to think. ags atratched out.
handcuff me, from the outside, through the door' spec	ow the officials to isl opening. Next, maybe
es many as six large and heavily armored security off or was as I was laying on the ground. I believe to who forcefully slammed by head abount the concrete/or interest or my hood force to my ho	
my race. For an Instant   Fait Terr property	I also felt blood on
tearing pain in my cheet's might side	mmentacetà y Letr a audub
severe pains throughout my entire body. I feered the trying to crush me to death, as I have seen it done of the I was subsequently taken in handcuff to a four	t the officials were
City. I was subsequently taken in handouff to a four the dirty floor near the toilet where the prisoners d	men cell, and thrown on efecate. The three African
-127 Front (Revised 11-2010) YOUR SIGNATURE IS REQUIRED ON BACK OF TH	

Į-

OFFICE USE ONLY

Grievance # 2016/58/3/

Americans prisoners became angry because a wh	After a period of time I cannot  After a period of time I cannot
Americans prisoners occame and all the continuating their cell. I felt very dizzy. continuating their cell. I felt very dizzy. estimate, I lost consciousness. I vomited seves timate, I lost consciousness. I vomited seves materials and the burning chemicals. The following the burning chemicals.	eral times during the night. I
estimate, I lost consciousness. I vomited to	get medical care, to shower to
remove the burning chemicals The following	Sot. GONDALES told me: "I am the
was not ellowed to leave the rout the following remove the burning chemicals The following remove the burning chemicals The following board the bus without any medical treatment, board the bus without any medical feature of the following treatment of the fol	NATEURD.
fuck you stain. Wolf FEAR BEING RETURNED to Mc fuck you stain. The NOTE #1: This grievance is abainst: (A). The	liable officials for the acts they
NOTE #1: Inte grievated in their personal and	official capacimonday June Ub-16;
customs/practices/policies in errect (3). The failure to properly train	the liable officials.
THE THE WASHINGTON OF THE TAXABLE PERCENTION OF THE PROPERTY O	
and all the relevant and miles past	disciplinary records, are
incorporated by references in	nontant facts could not be
THE WAY DIE TO SDECE TIME TO TO THE THE THE	e crievance
NOTE #4: 1 was assured to Thet: (1) Sgt. GOI	MALES and mental-health
ction Requested to the child involved; [2] - The uniteri	The harm me; (3). The
<del>工事学长长,以</del> 被以口号上员,从山内,西中中人员在一个,二二二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十	ious injuries i held sustained 2016
unidentified officials, possibly in Muntsvir personally and officially liable for the ser	Date: FRIDAY JUNE 10-2016
flender Signature:	
rievance Response:	
"	
AN INVESTIGATION HAS BEEN CONDUCTED REC	GARDING YOUR COMPLAINT.THE
AN INVESTIGATION HAS BEEN CONDUCTED REV INVESTIGATION REVEALED INSUFFICIENT EVID	DENCE TO SUPPORT YOUR ALLEGATION
INVESTIGATION REVEALED INSUFFICIENT EVIL OF STAFF MISCONDUCT ON THE ALLEGED DATE	ENO FURTHER ACTION WARRANTED.
OF STAFF MISCONDUCT ON THE ALLEGED BITTE	
	ndor 7-77-16
Robert Stevens, Wa	
Robert Stevens, Wa	
mature Authority:  Robert Stevens, Wo ou are distantisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (I-128) to the Unit Gring the step 2 Form.	
mature Authority.  Robert Stevens, Wo ou are distantished with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (I-128) to the Unit Grite the reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form.	
nature Authority.  Robert Stevens, Wo ou are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (1-128) to the Unit Grite the reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form.  Turned because: *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made.	levance Investigator within 15 days from the date of the Step 1 response.
nature Authority.  Robert Stevens, Woo on are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (1-128) to the Unit Gre to the reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form.  turned because: *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made.  1. Gricvable time period has expired.	OFFICE USE ONLY
mature Authority:  Robert Stevens, Wo ou are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (1-128) to the Unit Grite the reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form.  Turned because:  *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made.  1. Gricvable time period has expired.  2. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days. *	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission UG! Initials:
mature Authority.  Robert Stevens, Wo ou are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (1-128) to the Unit Grite the reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form.  Turned because:  *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made.  1. Gricvable time period has expired.  2. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days.  3. Originals not submitted.	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission UGI Initials: Grievance #: Screening Criteria Used:
nature Authority.  Robert Stevens, Woo on are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (I-128) to the Unit Gre to the reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form.  turned because: *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made.  1. Gricvable time period has expired.  2. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days. *  3. Originals not submitted. *  4. Inappropriate/Excessive anachments. *	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission UGI Initials: Grievance #: Screening Criteria Used:
mature Authority.  Robert Stevens, Wo on are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (1-128) to the Unit Grite the reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form.  Turned because: *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made.  1. Grievable time period has expired.  2. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days. *  3. Originals not submitted. *  4. Inappropriate/Excessive anachments. *  5. No documented attempt at informal resolution. *	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission UG! Initials: Screening Criteria Used:  Date Recd from Offender:
mature Authority.  Robert Stevens, Wo on are distantished with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (I-128) to the Unit Grite the reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form.  turned because: *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made.  1. Grievable time period has expired.  2. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days. *  3. Originals not submitted. *  4. Inappropriate/Excessive anachments. *  5. No documented attempt at informal resolution. *  6. No requested relief is stated. *	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission UG1 Initials:  Grievance #:  Screening Criteria Used:  Date Recd from Offender:  Date Returned to Offender:
mature Authority:  Robert Stevens, Was on are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (I-128) to the Unit Grite the resson for appeal on the Step 2 Form.  Increed because:  *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made.  1. Grievable time period has expired.  2. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days.  3. Originals not submitted.  4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments.  5. No documented attempt at informal resolution.  6. No requested relief is stated.  7. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language.	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission UGI Initials: Screening Criteria Used: Date Recd from Offender: Date Returned to Offender: 21-Submission UGI Initials: UGI Initials:
mature Authority:  Robert Stevens, Was ou are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (I-128) to the Unit Grite the resson for appeal on the Step 2 Form.  Turned because:  *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made.  1. Grievable time period has expired.  2. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days.  3. Originals not submitted.  4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments.  5. No documented attempt at informal resolution.  6. No requested relief is stated.  7. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language.  8. The issue presented is not grievable.	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission UGI Initials: Screening Criteria Used: Date Recd from Offender: Date Recturned to Offender: 21. Submission UGI Infitials: UGI Infitials:  Date Recturned to Offender:  21. Submission UGI Infitials:  Gricyance #:
nature Authority:  Robert Stevens, Wo are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (1-128) to the Unit Grite the resson for appeal on the Step 2 Form.  Increased because:  *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made.  1. Grievable time period has expired.  2. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days.  3. Originals not submitted.  4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments.  5. No documented attempt at informal resolution.  6. No requested relief is stated.  7. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language.  8. The issue presented is not grievable.  9. Redundant, Refer to grievance #	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission UG1 Initials:  Grievance #:  Screening Criteria Uxcd: Date Recd from Offender: Date Returned to Offender: 214 Submission UG1 Initials:  Grievance #:  Screening Criteria Uxcd:  Date Recturned to Offender:  255 Submission UG1 Initials:  Grievance #:  Screening Criteria Used:
mature Authority:  Robert Stevens, Was ou are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (I-128) to the Unit Grite the resson for appeal on the Step 2 Form.  Turned because:  *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made.  1. Grievable time period has expired.  2. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days.  3. Originals not submitted.  4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments.  5. No documented attempt at informal resolution.  6. No requested relief is stated.  7. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language.  8. The issue presented is not grievable.	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission UG1 Initials:  Grievance #:  Screening Criteria Used: Date Recd from Offender: Date Returned to Offender: 2ssSubmission Grievance #: Screening Criteria Used: Date Returned to Offender: Date Returned to Offender: Date Recall from UG1 Initials:  Grievance #: Screening Criteria Used: Date Read from Offender:
mature Authority.  Robert Stevens, Wo ou are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (I-128) to the Unit Grite the reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form.  Turned because: *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made.  1. Grievable time period has expired.  2. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days. *  3. Originals not submitted. *  4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments. *  5. No documented attempt at informal resolution. *  6. No requested relief is stated. *  7. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language. *  8. The issue presented is not grievable.  9. Redundant, Refer to grievance #	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission UGI Initials: Grievance #: Screening Criteria Uxcd: Date Recd from Offender: Date Returned to Offender: 21-5 Submission Grievance #: Screening Criteria Uxcd: Date Returned to Offender: Date Returned to Offender: Date Red from Offender: Date Red from Offender: Date Red from Offender:
mature Authority.  Robert Stevens, Wo ou are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (I-128) to the Unit Grite the reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form.  Turned because: *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made.  1. Grievable time period has expired.  2. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days. *  3. Originals not submitted. *  4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments. *  5. No documented attempt at informal resolution. *  6. No requested relief is stated. *  7. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language. *  8. The issue presented is not grievable.  9. Redundant, Refer to grievance #	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission UGI Initials: Screening Criteria Uxcd: Date Recd from Offender: Date Returned to Offender: Screening Criteria Used: Date Recd from Offender:  Date Returned to Offender:  Screening Criteria Used:  Date Recd from Offender:  Date Returned to Offender:
nature Authority:  Ou are distatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (I-128) to the Unit Grie the reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form.  Intract because:  *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made.  1. Grievable time period has expired.  2. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days.  3. Originals not submitted.  4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments.  5. No documental attempt at informal resolution.  6. No requested relief is stated.  7. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language.  8. The issue presented is not grievable.  9. Redundant, Refer to grievance #	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission UGI Initials: Grievance #: Screening Criteria Used: Date Recd from Offender: Date Returned to Offender: Grievance #: Screening Criteria Used: Date Returned to Offender: Date Returned to Offender: UGI Initials: Date Red from Offender: Date Red from Offender: Date Resolution Used: Date Resolution Used: Date Resolution Used: Date Resolution Offender: Date Resolution USE Initials:  Grievance #:
nature Authority:  Robert Stevens, Wo are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (I-128) to the Unit Great to the reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form.  Turned because:  Resulbmit this form when the corrections are made.  1. Grievable time period has expired.  2. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days.  3. Originals not submitted.  4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments.  5. No documented attempt at informal resolution.  6. No requested relief is stated.  7. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language.  8. The issue presented is not grievable.  9. Redundant, Refer to grievance #  10. Illegible/Incomprehensible.  11. Inappropriate.  12. Printed Name/Signature:	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission
nature Authority:  Ou are distatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (I-128) to the Unit Grie the reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form.  Intract because:  *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made.  1. Grievable time period has expired.  2. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days.  3. Originals not submitted.  4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments.  5. No documental attempt at informal resolution.  6. No requested relief is stated.  7. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language.  8. The issue presented is not grievable.  9. Redundant, Refer to grievance #	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission UGI Initials: Grievance #: Screening Criteria Used: Date Recd from Offender: Date Returned to Offender: Grievance #: Screening Criteria Used: Date Returned to Offender: Date Returned to Offender: UGI Initials: Date Red from Offender: Date Red from Offender: Date Resolution Used: Date Resolution Used: Date Resolution Used: Date Resolution Offender: Date Resolution USE Initials:  Grievance #:

:7 Back (Revised 11-2010)

Appendix F



Unit where incident occurred: \_

#### **Texas Department of Criminal Justice**

## STEP 2

OFFENDER GRIEVANCE FORM

Offende	rName:	CHARLE	S EPLEY	
Unit:	STRINGFELI	ow H	ousing Assignr	nent: <u>C13-1-15-B</u>

UGI Recd Date:

HQ Recd Date:

Date Due: 10-10

Grievance Code: 11

Investigator ID#: Extension Date:

OFFICE USE ONLY

Grievance #2014158831

You must attach the completed Step 1 Grievance that has been signed by the Warden for your Step 2 appeal to be accepted. You may not appeal to Step 2 with a Step 1 that has been returned unprocessed.

MONTFORD PSYCHIATRIC FACILITY

e reas	son for appeal (Be Specific). I am dissatisfied with the response at Step 1 because
Řе	ading the ruling, dated July 22-16, issued by Warden ROBERT STEVENS
in	grievance # 2016158831 frightens me. Here is why: The fact that
cr	iminal acts witnessed by so many prisoners and officials - and verified
h y	video-recordings and my medical records - can be covered-up/concealed.
su	ppressed with what is essentially nothing more than a "general denial".
Pr	isoner should not be sent to MONTFORD, a "medical facility" only to
1 1	ave it, a few days later, physically injured and mentally traumatized
wi	th flashbacks, nightmares, constant fear of being again brutalized
Ιt	is clearly established that I was injured at MONTFORD during a VIDEO-
	CORDED use of force during which the officials violated:
	BOARD POLICY 03-46 STANDARDS FOR THE USE OF FORCE.
	Authori Ty: Texas Government Code 411.148; 492.013; 501.002;
<del></del>	Texas Penal Code 9.32; 9.33; 9.52; 9.53;
	NOTE: The proof that the officials violated BOARD POLICY 03-46 is that
	I was NEVER given a disciplinary case. The officials could not
	find any fault with my conduct; Thus, the use of force was illegal.
To	conceal/suppress my injuries, the liable officials also violated:
	ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTIVE 03-47 TAKING PHOTOGRAPHS FOLLOWING AN INJURY
	OR USE OF FORCE INCIDENT.
Αų	thority: Texas Government Code: 493.001; 493.006(b); 494.001; 494.002.
In	addition to the above mentioned VIDEO-RECORDING, and medical records
	ich report injuries consistent with the VIDEO-RECORDING, I am ready to
	nt (Revised 11-2010) YOUR SIGNATURE IS REQUIRED ON BACK OF THIS FORM (OVER

#### Case 5:18-cv-00142-C Document 15 Filed 06/06/18 Page 56 of 88 PageID 276

provide sworn affidavits, to take polygraph exa	aminations, and to
cooperate with any legitimate law enforcement a Department of Justice) willing to investigate	agency (e.g., the U.S.
this grievance. Moreover, all the witnesses,	whether they are prisoners,
TDCJ officials, medical staff members, should !	
provide affidavits, deposed under oath, polygra	
the AVAILABLE evidence . I ONLY END THIS APPEA	
the AVAILABLE evidences, I only END 1113 ATTEM.	DERIDAY SEPTEMBER 02-16
Offender Signature:	Date: DAT SETTEMBER OF T
Grievance Response:	
Step 1 response appropriate. Please refer to that response. There	was no evidence to substantiate
your allegations. No further action warranted.	
your anogarions to the	
·	
STEVE MASSIE	
ASST. RECIENT DIRECTOR	
Signature Authority:	Date:SEP 3 0 2016
Consideration of the Constitution of the Const	
Returned because: *Resubmit this form when corrections are made.	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission CGO Initials:
1. Gricvable time period has expired.	Date UGI Reed:
2. Illegible/Incomprehensible.*	Date CGO Recd:
☐ 3. Originals not submitted. *	(check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted
	Comments:
4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments.*	Date Returned to Offender:  2nd Submission CGO Initials:
5. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language.	2ºº Submission CGO Initials:  Date UGI Reed:
6. Inappropriate.*	Date CGO Recd:
	(check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted
	Comments:
CGO Staff Signature:	Date Returned to Offender:
	3 <sup>rd</sup> Submission CGO Initials:
	Date UGI Reed:
	Date CGO Reed:Improperly Submitted
	Comments:
	Date Returned to Offender:

I-128 Back (Revised 11-2010)

EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 04



#### **Texas Department of Criminal Justice**

# STEP 1

#### **OFFENDER GRIEVANCE FORM**

Grievance #: 2016/11/07 Date Received: Date Due: \_ 16-1-6 B Extension Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_ Date Retd to Offender:

OFFICE USE ONLY

CHARLES EPLEY Offender Name: TDCJ# Unit: LYNAUGH \_ Housing Assignment: -- A-1-12-8 Unit where incident occurred: MONTFORD PSYCHIATRIC PRISON FRENCH ROBERTSON PRISON

You must try to resolve your problem with a staff member before you submit a formal complaint. The only exception is when appealing the results of a disciplinary hearing.  Who did you talk to (name, title)? EVERY OFFICIAL I COULD TALK TO (SEE BELGW.)
What was their response? TO THREATEN ME WITH ADDITIONAL SERIOUS INJURIES (SEE BELOW)
What action was taken? TO KNOWINGLY DENY ME ALL MEDICAL TREATMENT (SEE BELOW)
State your aries ango in the space openied Discounted to be a second of the space o
State your grievance in the space provided. Please state who, what, when, where and the disciplinary case number if appropriate SINGLE ISSUE GRIEVED: Denial of medical treatment at the MONTFORD & ROBERTSON OF STATE OF The SEVERS IN THE SEVERS OF THE SEVE
prisons for the severe injuries I sustained at MONTFORD on Monday June 06-2015. BACKGROUNG FACTS: Per the facts reported in my grievance dated Fri. June 10-15,
of friday June 10-16. I suffered from the following known obysical injunios.
- Frontal teeth (pain and at least one tooth loose):
- Concussion (loss of consciousness/headaches/vomiting); - Blurred vision in right eye;
- Loss of hearing with ringing in right ear;
- Fain in right side of neck:
<pre>- Pain in left shoulder; - Sharp/acute pains in right side of chest (Eroken rib(s)?);</pre>
- Worsened back pain of pre-existing injury; - Pains in both knees.
AT THE MONTFORD PRISON: Following the shows account I was aclosed
filthy floor a few inches from the toilet where prisoners defecate. The prison officials then left, and locked the door behind them. I was unable to
leave the cell. My pleas for medical care were ignored. No pictures of my wounds were taken. I was silenced under the threat of additional injuries. I was left with multiple injuries, in severe pain, and in fear. During the night both the security and the medical officials refused to care.
I was left with multiple injuries, in severe pain, and in fear. During the night both the security and the medical officials refused to provide any care. On Monday june 07-15, two security afficials refused to provide any
care. On Monday June 07-16, two security officials bandouffed me. As we were
care. On Monday June 07-16, two security officials handcuffed me. As we were cassing in front of the nearby nurse station, Sergeant HERRERA (I believe that it is her name) and the nurses laughed when they saw me still covered in blood, and dissorientated due to the sleep deprivation and constitutions.
and dissorientated due to the sleep deprivation and continuing pain. I was
me (see trievance dated Friday lune 10-16) T sergeant GUNZALES was waiting for
I FEAR TO BE RETURNED TO THE MONTECHD PROVIDED OF ANOTHER Drutal assault.
AT THE ACEENTSON PHISON " DOOD GIVENDARY HUBERTSON WAS extremely gainful.
rurse standing-by, a large white women with long blond hair who refused to
to what were my injuries. When I revealed the who had injured me, as opposed
with any medical treatment. The nurse then pulled-back behind the officer who was holding the list of incoming prise the officer told me to shut-up
assault and I alerted Sergeant   OPE7, who where the prisoners who knew of the
June 09-16, I elerted the infirmary women who called beneate upide increase.
passed in front of my assigned cell to deliver medications. She told me that she had filed a notice that I needed to be examined by medical. Yet, nobody
Tet, nobby

I-127 Front (Revised 11-2010) YOUR SIGNATURE IS REQUIRED ON BACK OF THIS FORM

(OVER)

examined me I also alerted all the securion of my cell. I was never provided with any me prison. I was also denied access to the food-	ty officials who passed in front
of my cell. I was never provided with any me	trays because I could not get out
prison. I was also denied access to the food- of bed fast enough to get them, according to	the officers passing the trays.
- 4 EEVO 10 BE BEIDDNED ID 10E DOODELASKE ANDELO	NAUCU waa ayttemely Udiii Ui.
THE CENGTHY THANSFER BETWEEN ROBERTSON AND LY NOTE #1: This grievance is against: (A). The	for the acts they have committed
and medical/mental-health of totals	ies and their official capacities;
(B). The customs/practices/policies reported above.(C). The failure to memory to memory to the failure to memory to the failure to memory to memor	properly train the liable officials.
NOTE #2: The video-recordings made of Monday	All the liable
and all the relevant and material re security and medical officials past	disciplinary records) are
incorporated by reference to this gr	portant facts could not be included.
security and medical officials' past incorporated by reference to this grant with the second space limitation, certain impact that incorporated assistance in writing this pains and the intrusive thoughts of pains and the intrusive thoughts of	the June 06-16 assault at Montford.
16at: (1). Mil Circ	5 50 001 1 0 J
Action Requested to resolve your Complaint.  Health officials who knew, or should have known from Monday June 05-15 until Thursday June 05-16 until Thursday Until Thu	own, of my needs for medical care
from Monday June 05-16 until Thursday June 05 individual/personal and official capacities	or the severe harm I have suffered.
individual/personal and orridial capacities	Wednesday JUNE 15-2016
Offender Signature:	Date:
Grievance Response:	
•	
GENERAL FOR INVESTIGATION. NO EVIDENCE W. SUBSTANTIATE YOUR ALLEGATIONS. NO FUTHER MATTER.  Signature Arthority: Robert Step ens. Warde from are dissuisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (1-128) to the Unit Grice from a step 2 (1-128) to the Unit Grice from the Step 1 response.	n Date: 17-12-16
State the reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form.	
Returned because: *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made.	
1. Grievable time period has expired.	OFFICE USE ONLY
2. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days. *	Initial Subjectsion U.Gl Initials:
3. Originals not submitted. *	,
4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments. *	Grievance #: _
5. No documented attempt at informal resolution. *	Grievance #:
	Grievance #:  Screening Criteria Used  Date Reed from Offender
6. No requested relief is stated. *	Screening Criteria Used.
6. No requested relief is stated. *	Screening Criteria Used  Date Reed from Offender
<ul> <li>☐ 6. No requested relief is stated. *</li> <li>☐ 7. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language. *</li> </ul>	Screening Criteria Used.  Date Reed from Offender  Date Returned to Offender:  2nd Submission UGI Initials:  Grievance ni
<ul> <li>6. No requested relief is stated. *</li> <li>7. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language. *</li> <li>8. The issue presented is not grievable.</li> </ul>	Sercening Criteria Used  Date Recd from Offender  Date Returned to Offender:  2 <sup>m2</sup> Submission UGI luitials:
<ul> <li>6. No requested relief is stated. *</li> <li>7. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language. *</li> <li>8. The issue presented is not grievable.</li> <li>9. Redundant, Refer to grievance #</li></ul>	Screening Criteria Used.  Date Reed from Offender:  Date Returned to Offender:  2nd Submission UGI Initials:  Grievance n:  Screening Criteria Used:  Date Reed from Offender:
<ul> <li>6. No requested relief is stated. *</li> <li>7. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language. *</li> <li>8. The issue presented is not grievable.</li> <li>9. Redundant, Refer to grievance #</li></ul>	Sercening Criteria Used.  Date Recd from Offender  Date Returned to Offender:  2 <sup>m2</sup> Submission  Grievance m:  Sercening Criteria Used:
6. No requested relief is stated. *  7. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language. *  8. The issue presented is not grievable.  9. Redundant, Refer to grievance #	Screening Criteria Used.  Date Reed from Offender:  Date Returned to Offender:  2nd Submission UGI Initials:  Grievance n:  Screening Criteria Used:  Date Reed from Offender:
6. No requested relief is stated. *  7. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language. *  8. The issue presented is not grievable.  9. Redundant, Refer to grievance #  10. Illegible/Incomprehensible. *  11. Inappropriate. *  14. Inappropriate. *	Screening Criteria Used.  Date Reed from Offender:  2 <sup>nd</sup> Submission  Grievance n:  Screening Criteria Used:  Date Reed from Offender:  Date Returned to Offender:  Date Returned to Offender:  3 <sup>rd</sup> Submission  Grievance n:  Grievance n:
6. No requested relief is stated. *  7. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language.  8. The issue presented is not grievable.  9. Redundant, Refer to grievance #  10. Illegible/Incomprehensible. *  11. Inappropriate. *  14. Inappropriate. *  4. Application of the screening criteria for this grievance is not expected to adv	Screening Criteria Used.  Date Reed from Offender:  2nd Submission  Grievance n:  Screening Criteria Used:  Date Reed from Offender:  Date Recd from Offender:  3nd Submission  UGI Initials:  Grievance n:  Screening Criteria Used:  Date Recurred to Offender:  3nd Submission  UGI Initials:  Grievance n:  Screening Criteria Used:
6. No requested relief is stated. *  7. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language. *  8. The issue presented is not grievable.  9. Redundant, Refer to grievance #  10. Illegible/Incomprehensible. *  11. Inappropriate. *  14. Printed Name/Signature:	Screening Criteria Used.  Date Reed from Offender:  2 <sup>nd</sup> Submission  Grievance n:  Screening Criteria Used:  Date Reed from Offender:  Date Returned to Offender:  Date Returned to Offender:  3 <sup>rd</sup> Submission  Grievance n:  Grievance n:

I-127 Back (Revised 11-2010)

Appendix F



		· N	OFFICE USE UNLY
1 大	Texas Department o	of Criminal Justice	Grievance #2016/160882
(12)	STEP 2 GRI	OFFENDER EVANCE FORM	HQ Recd Date: AUG 0 3 2016  Date Due:
Offender Name:	CHARLES EPLEY	TDCJ#601990	Grievance Code:
Unit:STRINGFE	L'L'OW Housing Assignment	C13-1-22-B	Investigator ID#:
Unit where incident oc	ccurred: MONTFORD PSYC FRENCH ROBERI		Extension Date:
You must attach accepted. You ma	the completed Step 1 Grievance th By not appeal to Step 2 with a Step 1	hat has been signed by the Ward that has been returned unprocesse	en for your Step 2 appeal to be ed.
Give reason for appeal (E Reading the	<b>Be Specific).</b> I am dissatisfied with ruling, dated July 1	the response at Step 1 because 2-16, issued by War	den BOBERT STEVENS
in grievance	# 2016160882 fright	enc ma Han- i	T

Reading the ruling, dated July 12-16, issued by Warden ROBERT STEVENS
in grievance # 2016160882 frightens me. Here is why: The fact that
criminal acts witnessed by so many prisoners and officials - and verified
by video-recordings and my medical records - can be covered-up/concealed/
suppressed with what is essentially nothing more than a "general denial".
Prisoner should not be sent to MONTFORD, a "medical facility" only to
leave it, a few days later, physically injured and mentally traumatized
with flashbacks, nightmares, constant fear of being again brutalized
The facts are simple and clear:
- I was injured at MONTFOAD during a video-recorded use of force during
which the officials violated the following:
BOARD POLICY 03-46 STANDARDS FOR THE USE OF FORCE.
Authority: Texas Government Code 411.148; 492.013; 501.002;
Texas Penal Code 9.32; 9.33; 9.52; 9.53;
NOTE: The proof that the officials violated BOARD POLICY 03-45 is that
I was never given a disciplinary case. The officials could not
find any fault with my conduct; Thus, the use of force was illegal.
- I was provided with no medical care at both MONTFORD and ROBERTSON;
- Upon arriving at L'YNAUGH, the medical officials diagnosed my injuries.
- In-between MONTFORD and LYNAUGH I was handcuffed in closely supervised
busses AND in a strictly, and nearly constantly, monitored single-cell.
Hence: (1). I was clearly afflicted with serious medical conditions;
1-128 Front (Revised 11-2010) YOUR SIGNATURE IS REQUIRED ON BACK OF THIS FORM (OVER)

Appendix G

(2). The officials at both the MONTFORD a	
me much-needed medical care to conceal th	
officials. This was made possible by the	officials having also vfolated:
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTIVE 03-47 TAKING PH	OTOGRAPHS FOLLOWING AN INJURY
OR USE OF	FORCE INCIDENT.
Authority: Texas Government Code: 493.001	; 493.005(b); 494.001; 494.002.
- 9/1	Date: FRIDAY JULY 29-16
Offender Signature:	Date: Date:
Grievance Response:	
This issue has been reviewed by The Office of the determined that there is insufficient evidence to warran be taken.	Inspector General and that office has at opening a case. No further action will
Signature Authority: C. MARTINEZ	Date:
	AUG 1-0-2018
Returned because: *Resubmit this form when corrections are made.	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission CGO Initials:
☐ 1. Grievable time period has expired.	Date UGI Recd:
2. Illegible/Incomprehensible.*	Date CGO Recd:
☐ 3. Originals not submitted. *	(check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted
	Comments:
4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments.*	Date Returned to Offender:
5. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening la	
☐ 6. Inappropriate.*	Date UGI Recd:  Date CGO Recd:
	(check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted
	Comments:
CCO St. IIS' sture	Date Returned to Offender:
CGO Staff Signature:	3 <sup>rd</sup> Submission CGO Initials:
	Date UGI Recd:
	Date CGO Recd:
	(check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted
	Comments:
	Date Returned to Offender:

OFFICE USE ONLY
Grievance #: 20いりく

Grievance Code:

67/alzei7



#### **Texas Department of Criminal Justice**

# STEP 1 OFFENDER GRIEVANCE FORM

Offen	ider Name	;;C	HARLES I	EPLEY	_TDCJ#_	60199	0 Inve	stigator ID #:	Z1812		l
Unit:		RAMSEY	Housing	Assignment	ONE	WING 4-1	1 - T   Exte	nsion Date: _			
Unit	where inci	dent occurr		27 17 37 m c 17	ILLE		Date	Retd to Offer	der: JUN 6	1 2017	-
<b>appea</b> Who d What <sup>a</sup>	ling the res lid you talk was their re	to (name, title sponse? TO	iplinary, hear )? INFORM	a staff membe ing RYN BELL ME THAT REQUEST	-DIRECT	OR USE O	F FORCE EFUSE T	M4 WHO8220 O GRANT	SEE B	ELOW 🙎	
<i>1</i>	INFORM	MAL RESO	LUTION w	Please state v	pted who	en I wro	te my 1	etter, d	ated 05	-01-17,	P
2				Use of						16.77	2
2				the mail							
1				om Use d						- on	
•				lf - who	***************************************	***************************************					
				d to my							
P****				m: Centr							****
8	PLEASE	UTILIZ	E THE OF	FENDER G	RIEVANCE	PROCEDI	URE TO	ADDRESS	YOUR CON	CERNS.	2
94 94	GRIEVA	NCE: O	n Monday	June 06	-16, an	d at the	e Montfe	ord Unit	of the	TDCJ,	2
3				an unjus				ALLE A CHARLES WANTED AND A CHARLES AND A CH			**
*	Use of	Force 1	has caus	ed me to	suffer	serious	injuri	es. I c	ontinue	to he	
24	afflic	ted with	h severe	chronic	pain, d	istress,	, impain	rments	· Way :	. 017	- Tage 
3	On mu	itiple o	ccasion	, I hav	e ask	ed the	Texas j	rison o	fficial	s, to	
8	releas	e UNEDI	TED/UNA	TERED co	opies o	f the E	NTIRE	and CO	APLETE v	/ideo-	iii
S)	record	ing of	the Use	of Force	referen	ced/numb	pered MA	A-03534-	6-16 MON	TFORD	
>2	unit t	o my re	latives,	and to	the Hous	ton-base	ed Frenc	h Gener	al Consu	ılate.	***
3	The r	equests	were ma	ide to er	nable th	e French	Consu	ar offi	cials to	know 🙀	• •
	*************			act per	······································	Marie de la companya					r 4
*	36 of	the Vie	nna Conv	ention o	n Consu	lar Rela	tions.	Notwith	standin	g the 🥙	
				continue	***************************************						*****
73	It she	ould fur	ther be	noted t	hat the	officia	ls at	MONTFORI	, ROBER	TSON,	
				LLOW have							Š
3	agains			example,		g me ac		**************			
<u> </u>	to pro	event me	from al	erting t							
		sed 11-2010)		IGNATURE I					•	(ON ER)	

EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 06

Appendix F

MAY 23 2017 MAY 22 2017 MAY 4 2007	WY 22 2017	
	N-03534-06-16 MO	NTFORD Unit.
P.S: It is my intention to alert all the r	esponsible autho	rities, such 🎘
as the United States Department of Jus	tice-Civil Righ	ts Division, 🐭
of the events that took place on Monda	y June 06-16. Ar	y attempt to
destroy, or alter/tamper/edit, the	video recording	referenced/
	e an OBSTRUCTION	
NORTH	uch as ALL the	
have filed since Monday June 06-16,		
incorporated by referenced to this gr		ll purposes.
		X750-
Action Requested to resolve your Complaint. That copies of the US numbered MA-03534-06-16 MONTFORD unit, be	promptly releas	sed - at my
expenses - to my relatives and to the Hous	ton-hased French	Consulate.
Offender Signature:	THECK	AY MAY 16-17
	Date,	
Grievan Your Chairi was forwarded to the Region III Office of the Insp	ector General, (OIG)	for their
review, and they found insufficient evidence to warrant openi	ing an OIG investigati	ion.
No further action warranted.		***
		**
*		~~~~~ ^
		*
,		
07		1 1
Signature Authority: Assistant	Warden R. Babcock	Date: 5/3//17
If you are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (1-128) to the Unit Grievance In	vestigator within 15 days from th	c date of the Step 1 response.
State the reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form.  Returned because: *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made.		**
		**
1. Grievable time period has expired.	OFFICE	USE ONLY
2. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days. *  3. Originals not submitted. *		UGE UNLI UGI isitials:
4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments. *	Gnevance #:	<b></b>
5 No documented attempt at informal resolution. *		
6. No requested relief is stated. *	Date Returned to Offender:	World War (1974) and a second
7. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language. *	2nd Submission	i
8. The issue presented is not grievable.		
9. Redundant, Refer to grievance #		
10. Illegible/Incomprehensible. *	Date Reed from Offender:	
11. Inappropriate. *	Date Returned to Offender:	-4278 (Acres 1882) - 120 - 120 - 120 - 120 - 120 - 120 - 120 - 120 - 120 - 120 - 120 - 120 - 120 - 120 - 120 -
UGI Printed Name/Signature:	1	UGI Initials:
Application of the screening criteria for this grievance is not expected to adversely	1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Affect the offender's health.	1	
Madinal Cinuatura Authority	Date Recd from Offender: _	The second secon
Medical Signature Authority:	Date Retained to Offender:	

I-127 Back (Revised 11-2010)

Appendix F

DATE & SATURDAY JUNE 03-17 \* MMDCCLXX A.U.C.

TO:

Š

9

Ź

OFFICIAL LINDA HONE - RAMSEY UNIT GRIEVANCE SUPERVISOR

ALL 0 . 27/19

STEP ONE GRIEVANCE # 2017141526 JUN 06 2012 RE:

SUBJECT: Please consider the following facts,

400 O 6 2017

- Step One grievance No. 2017141526 pertains to events which occurred at the MONTFORD unit on Monday June 06-2016, one year ago.

op.

Step One grievance No. 2017141526 was filed against HUNTSVILLEbased official KATHERIN BELL, the Director of Use of Force. Her address is: 1107 Avenue J - Huntsville, Texas 77340.

contacted Huntsville for my informal resolution of grievance # 2017141526. And USE OF FORCE - in Huntsville - responded to me.

Official KATHERIN BELL has access to the video-recording of the event which occurred at MONTFORD, on Monday June 06-16, last year.

200

I want the video recording to be released to my relatives and the French Consulate. The present matter has NOTHING to do with the Office of the Inspector General (OIG). Errors were made when:

- Step One of Grievance # 2017141526 was sent to the OIG.

- Grievance # 2017141526 was answered by Assistant-Warden BABCOCK. (Again, the grievance has NOTHING to do with anyone at Ramsey).

Moreover, appealing the Step One cannot remedy the above errors.

The only remedy is to allow me to resubmit the grievance mENCLOSURE) AND for you to forward it to HUNTSVILLE, where the USE OF FORCE department and official KATHERIN BELL are located.

An Huntsville-based official should issue the ruling which either my request for the video-recording kept in Huntsville, or explain why the video-recording continues to be concealed/suppressed.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. Most sincerely,

Again: (1). NO Ramsey official has ever been involved with the (2). NONE of the issues presented in grievance # 2017141526; events occurred at Ramsey; (3). The Office of the Inspector General can neither grant nor deny the request to resolve my complaint. Having the OIG involved compromises/undermines the grievance process.

 $\stackrel{ ext{O}}{=}$  If the enclosed resubmission were to be accepted, then I will NOT appeal the step one # 2017141526. However, if the enclosure were to be returned, in my appeal to Step One # 2017141526 I will report that a involving Ramsey in Step One # 2017141526 is: A PLOY TO SHIELD USE OF FORCE/KATHERIN BELL IN HUNTSVILLE FROM FEING HELD ACCOUNTABLE/LIABLE.

CHARLES EPLEY # 601990 JUN 0 \$ 2017

RAMSEY UNIT ONE WING 4-11-T

JUN 06 2017

EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 06

6

JUN 0 9 2017

EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 06

201000 A 3991000 A 1111-4-1 THIS CRIEVANCE IS AGAINST "HUNTSVILLE". PLEASE SEND IT TO "HUNTSVILLE".

OFFICE USE ONLY

Grievance #: \_\_\_\_

Date Due: \_\_\_\_\_ Grievance Code: \_

# \*

#### **Texas Department of Criminal Justice**

# STEP 1 OFFENDER GRIEVANCE FORM

Offend	ler Name:	CHARLES	EPLEY	TDCJ#	601990	Investigator ID #;
Unit:		SEY Housi	ng Assignment:	ONE WI	IG 4-11-T	Extension Date:\$
		ecurred:	********			Date Retd to Offender:
You mu	ist try to resolve	your problem wi	th a staff member	before you sub	mit a formal co	omplaint. The only exception is when
nnnali	na tha raculte at	a disciplinary ha	orino	HUNT	SVILLE-B	ASED 👄
Who die	d you talk to (nar	ne, title)? <u>KAT</u>	HERYN BELL	-DIKECTOR	USE UF	YY 11. 13
What w	as their response	7 TO INFUR	M MB THAT	THE UTTICE	ALS REFU	SE TO GRANT MY REQUEST.
What ac	ction was taken?	TO DENY	MY REQUEST	THAL THE	ATDEO-KE	CORDING BE RELEASED. JUN 06 20
State y	our grievance in INFORMAL	the space provide RESOLUTION	ed. Please state w was attem	ho, what, when pted when	where and the I wrote	e disciplinary case number if appropriate my letter, dated 05-01-17,
a	to Hunts	ville-based	USE OF	FORCE Sup	ervising	Official KATHERYN BELL.
Ţ,	On Monday	May 15-17	, the mai	1-room of	ficial p	rovided me with a letter, 🌉
- 5	dated Ma	y 05-17, f	rom USE 0	F FORCE Of	ficial M	AGGIE SCHILLACI - on
3	KATHERYN	BELL's be	half - wh	o denied m	y reques	t that a copy of a video- 🐇
-3	recording	be relea	sed to my	relatives	. Next,	a communication, dated
-2	Tues. May	16-17, fr	om: Centra	1 Grievano	e Office	, addressed to me, read:
	PLEASE UT	ILIZE THE	OFFENDER G	RIEVANCE I	ROCEDURE	TO ADDRESS YOUR CONCERNS.
<del>-</del> \$-	GRIEVANCE	: On Mand	lav June O	6-16. and	at the M	ontford Unit of the TDCJ,
***************************************						USE OF FORCE. The said
			_			juries. I continue to be
	**************************************			······································		
_3_						as prison officials, to
		NEDITED/UN	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			TRE and COMPLETE video-
3					# ************************************	ed MA-03534-6-16 MONTFORD
4	Unit to m	y relative	s, and to	the Housto	n-hased	French General Consulate. 📚
3						nsular officials to know 🤝
<del></del>	the facts	in order	to act pe	r the aut	hority g	ranted to them by Article
	36 of the	Vienna Co	nvention of	n Consular	Relation	ns. Notwithstanding the 🚆
	above, tl	ne officia	ls continu	e to cond	eal/supp	ress the video-recording. 😂
(京)	It should	l further b	e noted th	at the o	fficials	at MONTFOLD, ROBERTSON,
8	LYNAUGH,	and STRING	FELLOW have	e created	"complica	ations" for me/retaliated
3	against 7	ne by, for	example,	denying	me acces	s to my LEGAL MATERIALS,
	to preven	t me from	alerting	the Court	s of the	facts arising from the
1-127 F	ront (Revised 1)	-2010) <b>YOU</b> !	R SIGNATURE I	S REQUIRED (	ON BACK OF	THIS FORM (OVER)

EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 06

Appendix F

unjustified and illegal USE OF FORCE # MA-03	534-06-16 MONTFORD Unit,
P. C. It is my intention to alert all the resp	onsible authorities, such
No the United States Department of Justi	ce-Civil Rights Division,
as the United States Department of Justi of the events that took place on Monday J	une 06-16. Any attempt to
	ieo recording referenced/
	n OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE.
NOTE: All the documents in this matter, such	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
have filed since donday come	
JUN 06 2017 incorporated by referenced to this grieva	nce, for all purposes.
That copies of the USE	OF FORCE video-recording, 💆
numbered ma-0333 33 13	omptly released - at my
expenses - to my relaction	n-hased French Consulate.
9 3 JUN 0 8 2017	Date: SATURDAY JUNE 03-17
Offender Signature:	
Grievance Response:	
*	
	Date:
Signature Authority:  If you are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (1-128) to the Unit Grievance Investigation.	figator within 15 days from the date of the Step 1 response.
State the reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form.	
Returned because: *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made.	
1. Grievable time period has expired.	OFFICE USE ONLYOF
2 Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days. *	Initial Submission UG Mals:
3. Originals not submitted. *	Grievance #: 30[1]49807
4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments. *	Screening Criteria Used:
5. No documented attempt at informal resolution. *	Date Reed from Offender: JUN 06 2017
6. No requested relief is stated. *	Date Returned to Offender: JUN 9 6 2017
7. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threstening language. *	2nd Submission UGI Initials:
8. The issue presented is not grievable.	Grievance #:
9. Redundant, Refer to grievance #	
□ 10. Illegible/Incomprehensible. *	Screening Criteria Used:
11. Inappropriate. * Of Old Old Old Old AAT	Date Recd from Offender:
	Date Recd from Offender:  Date Returned to Offender:  3 <sup>al</sup> Submission  UGI Initials:
UGI Printed Name/Signature: UDVINGLY CO (WOLLY 1905)	Date Recd from Offender:  Date Returned to Offender:  3 st Submission UGI Initials:  Grievance #:
Application of the screening criteria for this grievance is not expected to adversely	Date Recd from Offender:  Date Returned to Offender:  3 of Submission UGI Initials:  Grievance #:  Screening Criteria Used:
UGI Printed Name/Signature: UDVINGLY CO (WOLLY 1905)	Date Recd from Offender:  Date Returned to Offender:  3 st Submission UGI Initials:  Grievance #:

I-127 Back (Revised 11-2010)

EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 06

Appendix F

#### ACCEPT AS ORIGINAL



### **Texas Department of Criminal Justice**

AUG 0 3 2013 REVER K/

OFFICE USE ONLY

	SIEPZ	OFFENDE GRIEVANCE FO		HQ Recd Date: UNN 1 2 2017
		_	JKIVI	Date Due: 7 C
Offender Name:	CHARLES EPLE	1DCJ#	01990	Grievance Code: 800
		ment: ONE WING 4- E AND HUNTSVILLE		Investigator ID#:
Unit where incident	COMPLAINT HAS NO			Extension Date:

You must attach the completed Step 1 Grievance that has been signed by the Warden for your Step 2 appeal to be accepted. You may not appeal to Step 2 with a Step 1 that has been returned unprocessed.

T must amond the specific. I am dissatisfied with the response at Step 1 because
I must appeal the ruling, dated Tuesday May 16-17, issued in Step One
grievance # 2017141526 for the following reasons:
FIRST, neither the Houston-hased French General Consulate nor my
relatives have been provided with copies of the VIDEO-RECORDING of the
Use of Force MA-03534-06-16, which occurred on Monday June 06-2016.
SECOND, this grievance should not have been forwarded to the so-called
Office of the Inspection General (OIG). This grievance is about
obtaining a VIDEO-RECORDING of the Use of Force. It is NOT - as
evidenced by the Step One itself - about the Use of Force. The officials
have taken out of context the words "Use of Force" to shield Huntsville-
based KATHERIN BELL + block the release of the VIDEO-RECORDING. It is a
ploy to manipulate the grievance process so as to avoid having to issue
a ruling on the merit. This is VERIFIED by the fact that on Sat. June
03-17, I resubmitted my Step One to allow the officials to provide me
with a ruling on the merit i.e., correct the error if the error had not
been made knowingly and intentionally. To deny me a ruling on the merit,
the officials rejected my resubmission (see grievance #2017149809, which
is incorporated by reference to this grievance for all purposes). I am
now using the available space to quote excerpts from my one-page notice,
also dated Saturday June 03-17, which is also incorporated by reference:
issues presented in onioner # 2017/1/2019
1-128 Front (Revised 11-2010) YOUR SIGNATURE IS REQUIRED ON BACK OF THIS FORM (OVER)
CATTLE CONTRACTOR CONT

EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 06

Appendix G

	e Office of the Inspector General
can neither grant nor deny the requ	uest to resolve my complaint [i.e.,
having the VIDEO-RECORDING releas	sed]. Having the IOC involved
compromises/undermines the grievance	process.
[Certainly,] it is a ploy to SHIEL	D [THE] USE OF FORCE [DEPARTMENT],
AND KATHERINE BELL IN HUNTSVILLE, FRO	OM BEING HELD ACCOUNTABLE/LIABLE.
Offender Signature:	Date: TUESDAY JUNE 06-17
Grievance Response:	
This issue has been reviewed by The Office of the control of the c	
determined that there is insufficient evidence to war be taken.	rant opening a case. No further action will
Ge takert.	
Signature Authority: C_MARTINEZ	OG Date: JUL 2 0 2017
	- Carrier - Carr
Returned because: *Resubmit this form when corrections are made.	OFFICE USE ONLY
☐ 1. Grievable time period has expired.	Initial Submission CGO Initials:  Date UGI Recd:
2. Illegible/Incomprehensible,*	Date CGO Recd:
3. Originals not submitted. *	(check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted
4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments.*	Comments:
	Date Returned to Offender.
5. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening	
☐ 6. Inappropriate.*	I Data Hill Davel
	Date UGI Recd.  Date CGO Recd:
	Date UGI Recd.  Date CGO Recd:  (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted
	Date CGO Recd:
CGO Staff Signature:	Date CGO Reed:Improperly Submitted
	Date CGO Recd:  (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted  Comments:  Date Returned to Offender:  3rd Submission CGO Initials:
	Date CGO Recd:  (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted  Comments:  Date Returned to Offender:  3rd Submission
	Date CGO Recd:  (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted  Comments:  Date Returned to Offender:  3rd Submission
	Date CGO Recd:  (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted  Comments:  Date Returned to Offender:  3rd Submission
	Date CGO Recd:  (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted  Comments:  Date Returned to Offender:  3rd Submission

I-128 Back (Revised 11-2010)

EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 06

Appendix G

c:\users\dablackm\local settings\temp\288355617.tif printed by mivap. (Page 1 of 1)

FSCORD VORTEGA CHAIN MANDETINAL CONTINUES OF THE MONTFORD

DATE THURSDAY JUNE 09-16 \* MMD CCLX? AUC

SUBJECT: ON MOVERY JUNE 06-16, AND AT THE MONTFORD

WINT, I WAS ERUTALIZED. I SUFFERED THE

FORMING PHYSICAL INJURIES.

NOSE WITH HEAVY EXCEDING (POSSIBLY BROKEY),

FRONTA. TEETH (PAIN AND AT LEAST ONE TOOTH LOOSE),

CONCUSSION (LOSS OF CONSCIOUSNESS/HEDDACHES (VONTING)),

LOSS OF HEARING WITH RINGING IN RIGHT EAR,

PAIN IN RIGHT SIDE OF THE YEEK,

PAIN IN RIGHT SIDE OF THE YEEK,

SHAPP/ACITE PAINS IN RIGHT SIDE OF CHEST (BROKEN RID(S)),

WORSENED BOOK PAINS OF PRE-EXISTING IN SURY,

PLEASE PROVIDE ME WITH PROPRIET AND MEDNING TUL

MEDICAL CARE FOR THE ADOVE SERIOUS HEDICAL CONDITIONS.

FROM: CHARLES EPLEY # GO1990 HOUSING: REFLICIOUS.

Nurse sick call clinic - Roll-

LYNAUGH UNIT

EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 07

for CHARLES EPLEY E

				for CHARLES EPLEY E	S EPLEY E						Pearl	
_	Problem Description	Sategory / Subcatagory	Status	Groun	ε	Elect Obsessing	3					
Ļ						Daviser Orani	у порети	Prov Name	Auto Stop Date	Entry User	Entry Date	
	GINGIVALPERIODONTAL	DENTAL (DENTAL	ACTIVE	PRIMARY	CC-CON	06/09/2002 14	211461873	STEPHENS, DEBRAA		LEAVITT, DEBORAH A	02/27/2013	as
	HEPATITIS BANTIBODY POSITIVE (HEP B)	CID1	NURSING / CID	PRIMARY	E849.0	05/12/2009 00	194770749	BLANTON-BOONE, JANIS		GONZALEZ CASEY	- 1	e 5
	TETANUS/OPPITHERIA	CID1	NURSING / CID	PRIMARY	V06.5	03/20/2009 00	194770758	SILVE STANDED WATER				.18
	THE STANDARD							CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF	11/100/2101/1	GONZALEZ, CASEY	11/10/2014	-0
	FIG EXAM POST COMPLETED TX OR SURGERY (SIPSURG PROC)	-	ACTIVE	PRIMARY	502	09/D1/1996 08	211665822	SINGLETON, LATONYA		LEAVITT, DEBORAH A	02/27/2013	v-N
	OSTEOARTHRITIS, UNSPECIFIED (OA, DJD)		ACTIVE	PRMARY	M19	02/02/1994 08	211 599888	SINGLETON, LATONYA		LEAVITT, DEBORAH A	02/27/2013	014
	LOW BACK PAIN		ACTIVE	PRIMARY	M54.6	09/01/1986 08	211 6133 12	SINGLETON, LATONYA		LEAVITT. DE BORAH A	100170100	12-0
	NP HEADACHEDIZZINESS		ACTIVE	PRIMARY	HSN-26	02/05/2002 08	172877114	SINGLETON LATENYA			_	۱ :
L.	HEADACHE-MYGRAINE		ACTIVE	PRIMARY	346.9	04/07/19994.20	187475944			темин, оевожин м		Dod
								CHANGEN, BAKKOWA		LEAVITT, DEBORAH A	08/11/2014	ur
	MEACTION TO THIS KIN TEST W/O ACTIVE TUBERCIL USIS (THICLAS).	-	ACTIVE	PRIMARY	R76.11	12/20/2004 12	211114699	BLANTON-BOONE, JANIS		GONZALEZ, CASEY	11/10/2014	ner
	PERSONAL HISTORY OF (VARICELLA) INFECTIOUS/PARASITIC DISE	-	ACTIVE	PRIMARY	Z86.19	03/30/2009 10	211167123	BLANTON-BOONE, JANIS		GONZALEZ, CASEY	11/10/2014	nt 1
	POSTTRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER	MENTAL HEALTH!	ACTIVE	PRIMARY	F43.10	04/14/1989 14	211302444	PARKER, VICKIE A		HEPLE, BRANDONG		5 1
	CARPAL TUNNEL SYNDROME, UNSPEC UPPER LIMB		ACTIVE	PRIMARY	G56.00	02/01/1998 DB	211311668	SINGLETON, LATONYA		LEAVITT DEBORAH A		-ile
	- Misc busnosis		MIDSING / CID	South	Cococo							d (
		Serve de Serve de Serve de La constitue de la	ON COMICKION	FRIMARI	565656	11/19/2012 07	163437456	FRAYER-HERZOG, CATHY J	Control of the same	LEAVITT, DEBORAH A	12/03/2012	16/
	SDO-CHEST PAIN.	NURSE PROTOCOL1	ACTIVE	PRIMARY	SDO4	01/02/2018 12	216487702	DAPAAH, YAA S		DAPAAH, YAA S	01/02/2016	06
	CID-DOI CHART REVIEW	190	NURSING / CID	PRIMARY	7 05	11/12/2015 0&.	214068988	GONZALEZ, CASEY	4	GONZALEZ, CASEY	11112/2018	/18
	CID-TB ABSESSMENT	(9)	NURSING / CID	PRIMARY	CD-16	4112/2015 08	214068998	GONZALEZ, CASEY		GONZALEZ, CASEY	11/12/2015	P
	FRACTURE OF RIB(S), STERNUM AND THORACIC SPINE	1	RULENOUT	PRIMARY	\$22	06/14/2016 11	225810461	ITE, SAMUEL B		ITIE, SAMUEL B		age
	MIGRAINE	_	ACTIVE	PRUMARY	643	06/14/2018 11	225810489	ITIE, SAMUEL B		TTE, SAMUEL B	06/14/2018	70
	PAIN IN UNSPECIFIED SHOULDER		ACTIVE	PRIMARY	M25.519	06/14/2016 11	225810633	ITE, SAMUELB	06/28/2016	TTE. SAMUEL B		of 8
	ICH-NEWLY ASSIGNED CHART REVIEW	CNI	NURSING / CID	PRWARY	₹ 7-	06/13/2016 16_	226773160	ARREDONDO, APRILR	1	ARREDONDO APRER		88
	PRE-SEGIPRE-CRISIS MANAGEMENT		NURSING / CID	PRUMARY	3	08/02/2016 18_	225223243	ACOSTA, ISPLDA M		ACOSTA, ISELDA M		Pan
	OTHER DISORDERS OF MUSCLE		ACTIVE	PRIMARY	M62	06/10/2016 11	225625141	TTE, SAMUEL B		ITIE, SAMUEL B		eID
	OTHER AND UNSPECIFIED INJURIES OF SHOULDERAND UPPERA		ACTIVE	PRIMARY	549	06/10/2016 11	225625147	TTE, SAMUEL B	06/24/2016	TIE, SAMUEL B	<del></del>	290
$\mathbf{A} \mathbb{I}$	LACERATION BACK AND PELVIS	,	ACTIVE	PRIMARY	S31.010	06/10/2016 11	226626258	ITIE, SAMUEL B	06/24/2016	TIE, SAMUEL B	06/10/2016	)
	MENTAL STATUS EXAM	MENTAL HEALTHY	ACTIVE	PRIMARY	MHSE	10/16/2007 11.	80149182	STONE, BRLY		STONE, BILLY	10/18/2007	
				. !		-	-		<del></del>	_	_	



#### **Texas Department of Criminal Justice**

# STEP 1

#### **OFFENDER GRIEVANCE FORM**

13-1-220

OFFICE USE ONLY

Grievance #: 2016 170365

Date Received: JUN 30 2010

Date Due: 8-16

	Grievance Code: <u>QOS</u>
Offender Name: TDCJ # TDCJ #	Tollie
	Investigator ID#: 12415
Unit: STRINGFELL'OW Housing Assignment: C13-1TR-23B	Extension Date: 51 9.28,16
Unit where incident occurred:	00/ 1 0 0040
THE THEIR DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P	Date Retd to Offender: 300 1 V 2016
	AUG 15 2006
You must try to resolve your problem with a staff member before you submit a formal go	malaba The state of the
appealing the results of a disciplinary hearing	EGNERAL CAR Joury, except GON LOURS A
appealing the results of a disciplinary hearing Who did you talk to (name, title)?  M. FUENTES, PRACTICE MANAGER (SE	EE BELOW) JUNE 18-16
What was their response? NO ANSWERS WERE GIVEN TO ME (SEE BEL)	Wheneys
TO THE REST OF MY VNOW EDGE. NOTHING	TO HELP ME (SEE BELOW)
What action was taken?	
State your grievance in the space provided. Please state who, what, when, where and the	dissiplinary mass number 16
SINGLE-155UE GHIEVED: Denial of dental, medical, and m	ental boolth toother
LYNAUGH for the severe injuries I sustained at MONTEGE	SD on Monday lune OS 15
BACKGROUND FACTS: Per the facts reported in my grievar	ice dated Fri. June 10-16.
LYNAUGH for the severe injuries I sustained at MONTFOR BACKGROUND FACTS: Per the facts reported in my grievar I was brutally assaulted on Monday June 06-2016, at thof friday June 10-16, I suffered from the following krance with heavy bleeding (possibly broken):	ne MONTFORD prison. As
- Nose with heavy bleeding (possibly broken);	The state of the s
- Frontal teeth (pain and at least one tooth loose): - Concussion (loss of consciousness/headaches/vomitir	
- QIUCCEO Vision in right eve:	197;
<ul> <li>Loss of hearing with ringing in right ear;</li> <li>Pain in right side of neck;</li> <li>Pain in left shoulder;</li> </ul>	
- Pain in left shoulder;	
<ul> <li>Sharp/acute pains in right side of chest (Broken ri</li> <li>Worsened back pain of pre-existing injury;</li> </ul>	
- Pains in both knees.	
- Worsened dack pain of pre-existing injury; - Pains in both knees. SEE MY NOTIFICATION TO ALL LYNAUGH UNIT MEDICAL OFFICE AT THE LYNAUGH PRISON: I subsequently alerted dental, health officials via verbal and written communications were ignored. I then alerted M. FUENTES, the Senior P the LYNAUGH Medical Department. The following is a co	ALS DATED JUNE 09-16.
health officials via verbal and written communications	medical, and mental-
were ignored. I then alerted M. FUENTES, the Senior P	ractice Manager, with
1-60 substitute communication data catually data	Propertions and the property of the property o
"SUBJECT: Upon arriving at the LYNAUGH prison on Thurs transferred to MONTFORD where I was brutalized on Mondre turned to I YNAUGH on Thursday was 100 leading to 1 YN	day June_QS-S016, I was
returned to LYNAUGH on Thursday June 09-16. And I sil	ay June 06-16. I was
returned to LYNAUGH on Thursday June 09-16. And I fil June 09-2016 (SEE THE DOCUMENT REFERENCED ABOVE LISTIN The document is the option	ed my first "SCR" on G THE INJURIES).
The document is the only record that the LYNAUGH medic acknowledged having received, probably because the LYN know then that my injuries had been inflicted by offic opposed to by prisoners.	al officials have
know then that my injuries had been inflicted by offic	ials (at MONTFORD). as
opposed to by prisoners.  My following requests for medical care to medical	
My following requests for medical care to medical, den (e.g., on June 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, and 16) FOR THE INJ	tal, and mental-health URIES SUSTAINED AT
Please dilicently investicate to	
Please diligently investigate the matter, and have my the policies in effect. Thank you for your assistance	records processed per Sincerely.
the policies in effect. Thank you for your assistance NOTE #1: When a document is deposited in the institut	ional medical box it is
third class Felony	pering or which is a
NOTE #2: Upon receiving a notice from me, Mental-Heal within seventy-two (72) hours	th MUST examine me
NOTE #3: The notes compiled about my meeting with Ms.	BOXIE INGRAM CORCERLY
NOTE #3: The notes compiled about my meeting with Ms. suppress relevant and material information.	* THOUSAN CONCEST/

1-127 Front (Revised 11-2010)

NOTE #4:

YOUR SIGNATURE IS REQUIRED ON BACK OF THIS FORM

Please advise.

When I approached the security supervisors [e.g., Sergeant P ROBINSON] and asked for their assistance, they have ignored for help and ordered me to return to my housing assignment.. being given disciplinary cases for asking the security offic

(OVER)

Sergeant PAUL e ignored my

When I approached the security supervisors ROBINSON] and asked for their assistance, t

help when I am in need.

P.S: My teeth continue to hurt (see my "SCR"	dated Thursday June 09-16). To
evoid unnecessary pain and suffering, p	lease refer me to dental without
delay. Inank you.	two of le are severe and serious.
Certainly, the injuries sustained, on Monday They required immediate - i.e., timely - and	meaningful medical attention the
denial of which greatly narmed me. The terminal	1-health officials to conceal and
BUDDLESS fue juinties r anaratuen Aredera com	The state of the s
Basically, my POST TRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER	symptoms were exacerbated. In
my fears, my hopelessness, my helplessness, to Basically, my POST TRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER turn the anxiety/panic attacks, flashbacks, resolvent the anxiety/panic attacks, flashbacks, resolvent the above made me appear to be even more above.	lating migraine headaches).
All of the above made me appear to be even mo LYNAUGH prison is infested, and corrupted, by	hundred of prisoners belonging
to some or the most brutal gangs will or the	theted for me to be barmed
NITTER THE TO SOUCE LIBITORELOW I ON GROUPS	, o , o o j p o o
back of my grievances dated Friday June 10-15 said notes are incorporated be reference to t	
Action Requested to resolve your Complaint. That: (1) All t	the security and medical/mental-
from Thursday June 09-16 until Thursday June individual/personal and official capacities f	or the severe harm I have suffered
	MONDAY JUNE 27-2016.
Offender Signature:	
Grievance Response:	
You have not been denied medical, mental health, or	dental treatment. Upon your arrival at
the Lynaugh unit, you were evaluated by mental healt	
health caseload with medication. Your injuries were	•
medical. You were seen by Mr. Itie PA on 6/10/16 an	<del>-</del>
diagnostic screens on 6/22/16. No dental sick call rec	•
submit a sick call request if you are wanting to be see	n for dental treatment.
	52 10 11
	7/8/9/16
Signature Authority: Whiles To	Date:
If you are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may submit a Step 2 (I-128) to the Unit Grievar	ice Investigator within 15 days from the date of the Step 1 response.
State the reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form.  Returned because: *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made.	
1. Grievable time period has expired.	OFFICE USE ONLY
2. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days. *	Initial Submission UGI Initials:
3. Originals not submitted. *	Grievance #:
4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments. *	Screening Criteria Used:
5. No documented attempt at informal resolution. *	Date Recd from Offender:
6. No requested relief is stated. *	Date Returned to Offender:
7. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language. *	2 ast Submission UGI Initials:
8. The issue presented is not grievable.	Grievance #:
9. Redundant, Refer to grievance #	Screening Criteria Used:
10. Illegible/Incomprehensible. *	Date Recd from Offender:
11. Inappropriate. *	Date Returned to Offender:
UGI Printed Name/Signature:	3 <sup>rd</sup> Submission UGI Initials:
Application of the screening criteria for this grievance is not expected to adver-	Grievance #:
Application of the screening criteria for this grievance is not expected to adver-	1
	Date Reed from Offender:
Medical Signature Authority:	Date Returned to Offender:

Medical Signature Authority:\_ I-127 Back (Revised 11-2010)

	Texas Departmen	nt of Criminal Justice
S		OFFENDER
(22)	G	RIEVANCE FORM
Offender Name: CHI	ARLES EPL	EY TDCJ# 601990
Unit: 3TRINGFELL	OW Housing Assignr	nent: C13 1-22 B AdMINISTRATIVE SEGREGATION.
Unit where incident occur	red: LYNAUgH *	AdMINISTRATIVE
	/	SEGREGATION.

OCT 1 1 2016
OFFICE USE ONLY
Grievance #: 20/6/70365
UGI Recd Date AUG 2 2 2016
HQ Recd Date: AUS 2 4 2016
Date Due: 10-6
Grievance Code:
Investigator ID#: 0352
Extension Date:

You must attach the completed Step 1 Grievance that has been signed by the Warden for your Step 2 appeal to be accepted. You may not appeal to Step 2 with a Step 1 that has been returned unprocessed.

I am dissatisfied with the response at Step 1 because... LING-EFTE I-128 Front (Revised 11-2010) (OVER)

att all and	2 1 2 2	The second law Was
		AVIVE RECORDS (SUCH AS,
But NOT LIMITED VO,	ALL VHE O	PRIEVANCES I HAVE
FILED SINCE MONDAY	SUNE 06-16	) ARE INCORPORATED
BY REFERRENCE TO THE	IS PRIEVA	YEE FOR ALL PURPOSES.
P8: THE PRISON IS CHRRENTLY	or Locked	
Offender Signature:		Date: SATURARY August 20-16
		Date: State of 12 and 15
Grievance Response:		•
In your Step 1 medical grievance, you stated you were injurdenied appropriate medical care for the injuries you receive officials be held accountable for your needs of care.		
Review of the health record reveals documentation to sup with Dental on 07/07/2016, but you were a no show for scheduled to see the provider on 07/20/2016, but refused dental evaluation on 07/26/2016. You were scheduled for on 09/02/2016. It is within your best interest to participate If you feel your situation requires further evaluation you 2.02	that appointment a d to be seen at that an x-ray of your neck e in your own treatm	and were rescheduled for a later date. You were time. You signed a Refusal of Treatment form for con 09/02/2016, but signed a Refusal of Treatment plan by attending all scheduled appointments.
STEP II MEDICAL GRIEVANCE PROFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STAN TDCJ HEALTH SERVICES DIVISIONAL STAN TDCJ HEALTH SERVICES DIVISION	IDARDS	Date: 9-73-16
Returned because: *Resubmit this form when corrections are	made.	OFFICE USE ONLY
		Initial Submission CGO Initials:
1. Grievable time period has expired.		Date UGI Recd:
2. Illegible/Incomprehensible.*		Date CGO Recd:
3. Originals not submitted. *		(check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted  Comments:
4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments.*		Date Returned to Offender:
☐ 5. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically thr	eatening language.	2 <sup>nd</sup> Submission CGO Initials:
☐ 6. Inappropriate.*		Date UGI Recd:
	·	Date CGO Recd:
		(check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted
		Comments:
CGO Staff Signature:	<del></del>	Date Returned to Offender:
		Date UGI Recd:
		Date CGO Recd:
		(check one) Screened Improperly Submitted
		Comments:
		Date Returned to Offender:

I-128 Back (Revised 11-2010)

Appendix G

\_\_\_\_\_TDCJ#\_\_\_\_601990

OFFICE USE ONLY

Grievance #: 20/6/63423

Data Received: JUN 20 2016

Date Due: 0730 2014

(OVER)

 $\mathbf{t}_{i}(t)$ 

Appendix F

Investigator ID #:



Offender Name:

. ). . . . .

THIS IS AN EMERGENCY GRIEVANCE

# Texas Department of Criminal Justice

THIS IS AN EMERGENCY GRIEVANCE

# STEP 1 OFFENDER GRIEVANCE FORM

THIS IS AN EMERGENCY GRIEVANCE

I-127 Front (Revised 11-2010) YOUR SIGNATURE IS REQUIRED ON BACK OF THIS FORM

**EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 10** 

CHARLES EPLEY

Unit: LYNAUGH	Housing Assignment:	<del>D_1_08=6</del> -	Extension Date:
Unit where inciden	t occurred: L'YNAUGH	C13-1-22B	Date Retd to Offender:
			0 3 AUG 2016
You must try to resol	ve your problem with a staff member	before you submit a formal co	omplaint. The only exception is when
appearing the resums	of a disciplinary hearing, name, title)? MS. SELLERS &		(SEE BEL'OW)
What was their respon	se? NO MEANINGFUL ANSW	EAS WERE GIVEN TO	SEE BRUDW) JUNE 2016
What action was taken	TO THE BEST OF MY	KNOWLEDGE: NOTHING	TO HELP ME (SEE BELOW)
State your grievance	in the space provided. Please state w	ha what when where and the	disability
	""TEVED: DEGUEST TO be	provided with ime-	
being returned	to the RAMSEY prison	nousing assignmer <u>where I was safe f</u>	its are available pending rom physical assaults.
victim of brut	lo: With the exceptional assaults, including ystem where I have been as opposed to exhausti	n of the RAMSEY pr <u>SEXUAL assaults,</u>	ison, I have been the in every prison of the
illustrative,	ystem where I have bee as opposed to exhausti	n assigned. The f ve, of the reasons	ollowing list is why I am easy prey:
because 1	they consider me to be	t black and Hispan "white". The "whi	ollowing list is why I am easy pray: ic prisoners mistreat me tempers mistreat
isolation	is complete My Fren	tre the Mexican Ci	tizens in lexas, my
TRAUMAS S	SUSTAINED IN THE TEXAS  In the Texas prisons	ATIC STRESS DISORD PRISONS. PTSD is	ER (PTSD) AS A RESULT OF  a fear-based mental-
	J. UV DIBUMBOLV OFISODRI	CS 300 C9CUC1EV 6E	Tininin
of the ev	vents which occurred at Danic attacks, flashbac	t MONTFORD on Mond cks, feeling of un	ay June 06-2016). My
do not kr <u>as the wa</u>	now if I am dreaming ar alls appears to be mov	nd I experience vi	ay June 06-2016). My reality during which I sual distortions, such
03). result ir	n the prisoners/certain ICTED WITH TRAUMATIC E	officials to rut	sual distortions, such prearances are distorted nlessly manipulate me. AND TRESULT OF HAVING
the debil	itation microine boads	STERRITOURLY AC ME	PAULID. During most of
be around me to kee	me. Before I was SIN	GLE-CELLED, priso	ners do not want to ners have brutalized oreover, when overwhelmed
think nor	Compachand Uses well	reas III or marion.	At times, I can neither
04). I MUST PA	PACTICE MY RELIGION (AN	Len request, I wi Nancient European	It times, I can neither ll supplement the above. FORM OF YOGA/SHIVAISM).  ve a "god-given" right
to harm m	ne because of my sincer	<u>feel that they ha</u> ely help religious	ve a "god-given" right beliefs and practice.
05). MY SLIGHT	BILL COLLEGE FORCAT	TON THE PART TO	iclais been tolerant.
TATTOO gangs, fr	are causing the priso om all races, to victi	ners affiliated w	th the various Texas
D6). MY STÉPFA STATE WIT	THER, THE VICTIM OF TH NESS AT MY TRIAL WERE	E HOMICIDE IN MY	th the various Texas Is infected with gangs. CASE, AND THE PRIMARY
<u>    officials                                    </u>	at the JESTER THREE.	PACK ONE MICHAEL	AND TEODER (: 11
either di NOTE A: The ab	rectly assaulted me or	enconraded brison	the RAMSEY prison) have hers to assault me. y and in the aggregate.
NOTE B: Due to	space limitations, I	nsidered separate] am unable to cites	y and in the aggregate. additional reasons.
SELLERS, the Ch	ief of Classification,	at the LYNAUGH pr to help me with S	rison, I asked Ms. M. SAFEKEEPING. Ms. SELLERS
HOXIE INGHAM Ha	me. moreover, my last ve been suppressed/con	three written requ cealed. When a do	additional reasons. Tison, I asked Ms. M. BAFEKEEPING. Ms. SELLERS Dests for assistance to accument is deposited in

an institutional medical box it is conside	ered to be an official record, the
tampering of which is a class three felon Mental-health MUST examine me within save	/ Upon receiving a notice from me,
compiled by Ms. HUXIE INGHAM, about My Mee	etings with her. conceal/suppress
- dreativ exacerdated my PISU and my IBI svi	nappened on Thursday Jume 16-2016 has notings. I Fear that the LYNAUGH
officials will brutalize me as the MONTFOR Today, I have been thinking about the asse NOTE #1: I fear being returned to the MONTFOR	oult at MONTFORD over and over again.
NUIE #1: I fear being returned to the MON	NTFORD so-called hospital. I have
<u>being at a prison located mear t</u>	the JESTER FOUR Psychiatric Facility.
NOTE #2: I am seeking information on SAFE CUSTODY which would worsen my se	erious medical čonditions. I also
object to be placed in ADminist; the cells are kept intentionally fr	rative-SEGregation at LYNAUGH where
courts have ruled that using temper	rature extremes is a form of torture.
NOTE: #3: All my medical/administrative re to, the video of what happened to	o me at MONFORD on June 06-2016 -
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	this grievance for all purposes.
Action Requested to resolve your Complaint. That I be proposed by the make an intelligent decision	rovided with all the information about being transferred to a prison
necessary to make an intelligent decision housing prisoners in SAFEKEEPING pending m	ny return to the RAMSEY prison where
I have been safe during the past twenty-ye which were given to me by the JESTER FOUR	psychiatric and medical officials.
Offender Signature:	Date: THURSDAY JUNE 16-2016
Grievance Response:	
Your complaint has been noted. Unit Classification co	
Lynaugh Unit, single cell housed due to Health Summa	
the Stringfellow Unit recommended by the State Class	ification Committee. No further action
warranted.	
	Whitfield JUL 2 8 2016
Signature Authority:	Date:
itate the reason for appeal on the Step 2 Form.	
Returned because: *Resubmit this form when the corrections are made.	
1. Grievable time period has expired.	
2. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days. *	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission UGI Initials:
3. Originals not submitted. *	Grievance #:
4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments. *	Screening Criteria Used:
5. No documented attempt at informal resolution. *	Date Recd from Offender:
6. No requested relief is stated. *	Date Returned to Offender:
7. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language.	2 <sup>nd</sup> -Submission UGI Initials:
8. The issue presented is not grievable.	Grievance #:
9. Redundant, Refer to grievance #	Screening Criteria Used:
10. Illegible/Incomprehensible. *	Date Recd from Offender:
11. Inappropriate. *	Date Returned to Offender:
JGI Printed Name/Signature:	3rd Submission UGI Initials:
unification of the careening evitoria for this evicence is not expected to a	Grievance #:
Application of the screening criteria for this grievance is not expected to a Affect the offender's health.	
	Screening Criteria Osed.
Medical Signature Authority:	Date Recd from Offender:

EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 10

I-127 Back (Revised 11-2010)

Appendix F



# STEP 2

#### **OFFENDER** GRIEVANCE FORM

CHARLES EPLEY 601990 TDCJ# STRINGFELLOW Housing Assignment:

Unit where incident occurred: LYNAUGH PRISON

Give reason for appeal (Be Specific).

OFFICE USE ONLY
Grievance 2016/63422
UGI Recd Date: <u>AUG 15 2016</u>
HQ Recd Date: AUG 1 9 2016
Date Due: 9.24
Grievance Code: <u>200</u>
Investigator ID# 303 12245
Extension Date:

You must attach the completed Step 1 Grievance that has been signed by the Warden for your Step 2 appeal to be accepted. You may not appeal to Step 2 with a Step 1 that has been returned unprocessed.

I am dissatisfied with the response at Step 1 because... I must appeal the ruling, dated July 28-2016, issued in grievance No. 2016163422 for the following reasons: First, I requested information to ascertain what would be the safest housing assignment when my physical, mental, and spiritual health are considered. The ruling shows that the officials continue to conceal/ suppress relevant/material documents, such as Administrative Directive (AD) 04.69 SECURITY REQUIREMENTS FOR AT RISK OR VULNERABLE OFFENDERS. That AU is a legal and public document which anybody in the free-world can read. But I continue to be denied access to it. Thus, preventing me from making an educated decision about what should be my housing. Moreover, knowing that information which directly impact my health and safety is being knowingly/intentionally withheld/concealed/suppressed by the Texas officials exacerbates my Post Traumatic Stress Disorder. Second, what has now be "noted", was known or should have been known since I was admitted into the Texas prison system. The facts were ignored to justify transferring me the LYNAUGH prison to harm me. The facts which were noted could very well be once again ignored at some time in the future causing more harm. Thus, the officials continue to deny me the safest prison (i.e., RAMSEY) I requested in my step one. Third, I was transferred to MONTFORD and brutalized/traumatized there to intimidate me from invoking my Health Summary. This is verified by the fact that the LYNAUGH officials violated the CLASSIFICATION PLAN (more specifically: VII OPERATION COMPONENTS. B. Unit Administration [Page 31] 3. The offender's current HSM-18 is binding on all classification and correctional staff) when, on Thursday June 16-16, I was ordered to move into cells occupied by mentally-ill and gang-affiliated prisoners... Fourth, it is frightening to read that I was "appropriately housed at the Lynaugh Unit" when, in fact, I was victimized by gang members. when I reported that to medical officials (e.g., Mental-Health official ROXIE INGRAM), they "counseled" me to keep silent... And when I sought protection, from gang-members at LYNAUGH, the security officials ordered me to return to the buildings (#I and #2) occupied by the very gang members I was trying to evade, resulting in victimization. It should be noted that seeking help from officials is by itself a dangerous endeavor. It is no secret that the use of illegal drugs is rampant at LYNAUGH. Who bring the drugs inside the prison? Reporting a desire to avoid the gang-members, who control the trade of illegal drugs, can create "complications"... I did alert Sergeant PAUL ROBINSON but he not only ignored my pleas for help, he also ordered me to return to the building occupied by the gang-members! This happened at the time when I was disabled (i.e., unable to defend myself; thus totally helpless) by the severe injuries inflicted upon me at the MONTFORD prison, on Monday June 06-2016 (see grievance number 2016158831 AND the video-recording.) I-128 Front (Revised 11-2010) YOUR SIGNATURE IS REQUIRED ON BACK OF THIS FORM (OVER)

	lent acts at RAMSEY are now
held at STRINGFELLOW. STRINGFELLOW is a far a RAMSEY who hold prisoners interested in pursua for the reasons stated above, there are continuous	nore violent prison than ing eduçațional advancement.
NOTE #1: I am unable to report all the facts (	due to space limitation.
NOTE #2: All my medical and my administrative	records - including but
not limited to ALL the grievances I by June 06-16, the video recording of w	hat happened to me at
MONTFORD on Monday June 06-16 - are to this grievance, for all purposes.	incorporated by reference
Offender Signature:	Date: TUE. AUGUST 09 -16
Grievance Response:	,
£	
An investigation has been conducted regarding grievance #	2016163422. Records reveal that
you were administratively assigned to the Stringfellow un	it by the recommendation of the
State Classification Committee. Records reveals that grieva	ance #2016158831 was reviewed
at both levels of the grievance process and no further actio	n is warranted.
at both levels of the ghovenos process and he has a	
·	
M. BLALOC	
Signature Authority: M. BLALOC	K NOV 0 1 2016
1/1/1/2/1/2/1/2017	OFFICE USE ONLY
Signature Authority:	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission CGO Initials:
Signature Authority: WWW LOUES	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission CGO Initials: Date UGI Recd:
Signature Authority:	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission CGO Initials: Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd:
Signature Authority:	Date:
Returned because: *Resubmit this form when corrections are made.  1. Grievable time period has expired.  2. Illegible/Incomprehensible.*	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission CGO Initials: Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd:
Returned because: *Resubmit this form when corrections are made.  1. Grievable time period has expired.  2. Illegible/Incomprehensible.*  3. Originals not submitted. *  4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments.*	Date:
Returned because: *Resubmit this form when corrections are made.  1. Grievable time period has expired.  2. Illegible/Incomprehensible.*  3. Originals not submitted. *  4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments.*  5. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language	Date:
Returned because: *Resubmit this form when corrections are made.  1. Grievable time period has expired.  2. Illegible/Incomprehensible.*  3. Originals not submitted. *  4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments.*	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission CGO Initials: Date UGI Recd: (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted Comments: Date Returned to Offender: 2nd Submission CGO Initials: Date UGI Recd: Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd:
Returned because: *Resubmit this form when corrections are made.  1. Grievable time period has expired.  2. Illegible/Incomprehensible.*  3. Originals not submitted. *  4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments.*  5. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission CGO Initials: Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd: (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted Comments: Date Returned to Offender: 2nd Submission CGO Initials: Date UGI Recd: Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd: (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted
Returned because: *Resubmit this form when corrections are made.  1. Grievable time period has expired.  2. Illegible/Incomprehensible.*  3. Originals not submitted. *  4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments.*  5. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission CGO Initials: Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd: (check one) Screened Improperly Submitted Comments: Date Returned to Offender: Date UGI Recd: Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd: (check one) Screened Improperly Submitted Comments:
Returned because: *Resubmit this form when corrections are made.  1. Grievable time period has expired.  2. Illegible/Incomprehensible.*  3. Originals not submitted. *  4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments.*  5. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission CGO Initials: Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd: (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted Comments: Date Returned to Offender: 2nd Submission CGO Initials: Date UGI Recd: Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd: (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted Comments: Date Returned to Offender:
Returned because: *Resubmit this form when corrections are made.  1. Grievable time period has expired.  2. Illegible/Incomprehensible.*  3. Originals not submitted. *  4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments.*  5. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language.  6. Inappropriate.*	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission CGO Initials: Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd: (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted Comments: Date Returned to Offender: 2nd Submission CGO Initials: Date UGI Recd: Date UGI Recd: Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd: (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted Comments: Date Returned to Offender: Date Returned to Offender:  2rd Submission CGO Initials:
Returned because: *Resubmit this form when corrections are made.  1. Grievable time period has expired.  2. Illegible/Incomprehensible.*  3. Originals not submitted. *  4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments.*  5. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language.  6. Inappropriate.*	OFFICE USE ONLY Initial Submission CGO Initials: Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd: (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted Comments: Date Returned to Offender: 2nd Submission CGO Initials: Date UGI Recd: Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd: (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted Comments: Date Returned to Offender:

Appendix G

Comments: \_\_\_

Date Returned to Offender: \_



## **OFFENDER** STEP 1 GRIEVANCE FORM

			/3 -	-1-220
Offender Name: _	CHARLES	S EPLEY	TDCJ #	801990
Unit: STRING	FELLOW	Housing Assignment	:	
Unit where incide	nt occurred:	LYNAUGH		

You must try to resolve your problem with a staff member before you submit a formal complaint. The only exception is when

OFFICE USE ONLY
Grievance #2016171719
Date Received: JUL 0 5 2016
Date Due: 8-14-14
Grievance Code: 515
Investigator ID #: <u>I2415</u>
Extension Date:
Date Retd to Offender: AUG 15 2016

Who did you talk to frame title? MO CAN THE TELEPHOREM (SEE BELOW)	HURSDAY
Who did you talk to (name, title)? MS. GALINDO, PROPERTY SUPERVISOR When?	NE 33-16
What was their response? THAT SHE DID NOT KNOW WHERE MY RELIGIOUS MEDALLION IS	
What action was taken? TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE: NOTHING TO HELP ME (SEE A	BELOW)
State your grievance in the space provided. Please state who, what, when, where and the disciplinary case number if a	ppropriate
SINGLE-ISSUE GAIEVED: Sergeant PAUL ADBINSON intentionally inappropris	
confiscated my religious medallion by violating AO-03.72 and my rights	s under
the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution/the Federal law known as	
SACKGROUND FACTS: I arrived at the LYNAUGH prison on Thursday June Of	
that day I was transferred to the MONTFORD prison. The pertinent authorized	-
Administrative Directive 03.72 (Rev. 06) Offender Property, at page 25	
IX Departure From Unit. C. Medical Appointments. 2. Offenders departure	arting a
unit due to medical or psychological reasons for temporary assignment	at a
psychiatric Facility may be unable or incapable of making decisions re	garding
the offender's property at the time of departure. The property shall	be
INVENTORIED, TAGGED, LOGGED, and STORED in the property room. The pro	perty
shall be maintained at the unit of assignment until the offender retur	`ns
The available evidence show that SERGEANT PAUL ROBINSON failed to comp	ly with
the above policy either because he decided to violate the said policy,	or
because he was not properly trained by the administration.	***************************************
On Thursday June 09-16, when I returned to LYNAUGH prison, official RO	XIE
INGRAM found items of property belonging to me in the infirmary.	
On Thursday June 15-15, Property Supervisor GALINDO returned certain i	tems of
property, but assured me that my religious medallion was never given t	o her.
On Monday June 20-15, as I was seeking the help of Ms. SHEILA LEHNEAT,	
Sergeant PAUL ROBINSON declared that he was the official who had taken	my
religious medallion. Sgt. ROSINSON stated that my religious medallion	was in
official GALINDO's office. I briefed official GALINDO of the above vi	a an
JUL 05	2016

I-127 Front (Revised 11-2010)

YOUR SIGNATURE IS REQUIRED ON BACK OF THIS FORM

(OVER)

EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 11

I-60. Official GALINOO never returned my I-50 with a disposition. As the
property supervisor, GALINDO was present when I left the LYNAUGH prison on
Thursday June 23-16. Then and there GALINDO said that she did not know where
my religious medallion is. I was then ordered to board the departing van.
Thus, Thu. June 23-16, was my last attempt to informally resolve the matter.
GRIEVANCE: Had Sergeant PAUL ROBINSON obeyed the established procedure,
official GALINDO would have been able to return the religious medallion to me.
All the evidences, including - but not limited to - his admission in front of
Ms. SHEILA LEHNERT, the LYNAUGH prison grievance supervisor, on Monday June
20-16, indicate that Sergeant PAUL ROBINSON is liable. JUL 0 5 2018
Action Requested to resolve your Complaint. That: (1) My religious medallion, a much-needed and essential religious devotional item, be either promptly returned to me or promptly replaced. (2). Sgt. PAUL ROBINSON be held liable for the harm he has
done to me either intentionally or through negligence.    July 0.5 2016   Difference   July 0.5 2016   Difference   July 0.5 2016   Difference   Dif
Grievance Response:

Your complaint has been noted. Staff contends you were advised on the day you were placed in medical observation all personal items, as well as state clothing, was bagged and placed outside the door in the infirmary. You were never told items were sent to the property room. Property staff contends they were never contacted in regards to your property and the only items received from the Medical department was Rhino boots and eye glasses. There is no evidence staff is responsible for the loss of your property. No further action warranted.

Signature Authority:  H you are dissatisfied with the Step 1 response, you may subtra a Step 2/1-128/16 to the Unit Gricyance has	tfield  Date:  asticular within 15 days from the date of the S	16 i o cuto
State the veason for appear on the Step 2 Ports.	HT (i.e. 1988). Milliaguerigian e su esse substante, durin este sens se su succession de manueriane, cuan este este se sens se sens se	***************************************
<ul> <li>□ 1. Grievable time period has expired.</li> <li>□ 2. Submission in excess of 1 every 7 days. *</li> <li>□ 3. Originals not submitted. *</li> <li>□ 4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments. *</li> <li>□ 5. No documented attempt at informal resolution. *</li> <li>□ 6. No requested relief is stated. *</li> <li>□ 7. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening language. *</li> <li>□ 8. The issue presented is not grievable.</li> <li>□ 9. Redundant, Refer to grievance #</li> <li>□ 10. Illegible/Incomprehensible. *</li> <li>□ 11. Inappropriate, *</li> <li>UG1 Printed Name/Signature:</li> </ul>	OFFICE USE ON Initial Submission PGI Initials Greening Uniters Used Date Read from Offence:  2nd Submission UGI Initials Griesing Criteria Used Date Reed from Offender: Date Reed from Offender: Date Reed from Offender: Date Reed from Offender: UGI Initials	**************************************
Application of the screening criteria for this grievance is not expected to adversely Affect the offender's health.	Orievance #:  Screening Criteria Used:  Date Reed from Offender:	and a second and a
Medical Signature Authority:	Date Returned to Offender:	
I-127 Back (Revised 11-2010)		

Appendix F

THE SECOND	E OF

STEP 2

OFFENDER GRIEVANCE EQRM

Offender Name: CHARLES EPLE	E TDCJ# 60/990
Unit: 3/K///3/16-66-60 Housing Assignment	nt: 613 / 22 15
Unit where incident occurred: 44NAUGH*	AdmINISTRATIVE.

OCT 1 1 2016 OCA
-OFFICE USE ONLY
Grievance #: <u>2016/1/119</u>
UGI Recd Date 16 2 3 2016 AUG 2 6 2016
HQ Recd Date:
Date Due: 10-2-40 =
Grievance Code: 515
Investigator ID#: 12245
Extension Date:

You must attach the completed Step 1 Grievance that has been signed by the Warden for your Step 2 appeal to be accepted. You may not appeal to Step 2 with a Step 1 that has been returned unprocessed.

Give reason for appeal (Be Specific). I am dissatisfied with the response at Step 1 because... , daved August 10-16, IN GRIEVANCE BE RECONSILED BECAUSE IN CANNOT WITH THE RULING IS FAXTUALLY FALSE INTENTIONALLY SHIELD THE LIABLE OFFICIALS. IT CONTINUE TO PRESENT THE TACIS IN THIS APPEAL, AND ARQUMENTS TO THE RULING dATES AM PREVENTED FROM dOING THE AM disabled by dEBILIYAYING IRAYINA)IC ILIAINA FOST-IRAKMAYIC SURESS THE INJURIES SUSTAIN CELL ASSIGNMEN IN "OVERFLOW" STATUS IS INVOKED TO PUNISH ME I HAVE EducaTIONAL ACTUANCEMENT AT RAMSEY - WHERE MUCH SAFER - ARE DEING blocked IN RETALIATION FOR GRIEVANCES I HAVE FILED SINCE I WAS BRUTALLY Morday JUNE 06-16. I FEDR - AND I AM DEING THAT PROVIDING TAXIUAL IN Addi VIONAL ACIS APPENL 1-128 Front (Revised 11-2010) YOUR SIGNATURE IS REQUIRED ON BACK OF THIS FORM (OVER)

	E E OFTON LOCAL AS
NOTE: ALL MY MEDICAL AND Admin	115) RATIVE KECOLUS SUUT 173,
BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ALL	HE GRIEVANCES I HAVE
FILED SING MONDAY SUME	06-16) ARE INCORPORATED
	VANCE FOR ALL PURPOSES
P.S: THE PRISON IS CURRENTY ON LO	Date: SOVUR day DUSUS 20-1
Offender Signature:	Date Sover any No.
Grievance Response:	
Grievane sange	
There is insufficient evidence to support your claim that st	aff named in your complaint was responsible
for your alleged property loss/damage. In the absence of e	vidence to support your claim, this office
warrants no action.	
	OFD 2.2 2016
K /1 VOI - 01	Nard SEP 2 2 2016
Signature Authority:	Date.
Returned because: *Resubmit this form when corrections are made.	OFFICE USE ONLY
	Initial Submission CGO Initials:
☐ 1. Grievable time period has expired.	Tillian Company
	Date UGI Recd:
2. Illegible/Incomprehensible.*	Date UGI Recd: Date CGO Recd:
2. Illegible/Incomprehensible.*	Date UGI Recd:  Date CGO Recd:  (check one) Screened Improperly Submitted
3. Originals not submitted. *	Date UGI Reed:  Date CGO Reed:  (check one) Screened Improperly Submitted  Comments:
<ul> <li>☐ 3. Originals not submitted. *</li> <li>☐ 4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments.*</li> </ul>	Date UGI Reed:  Date CGO Reed:  (check one) Screened Improperly Submitted  Comments:  Date Returned to Offender:
3. Originals not submitted. *	Date UGI Reed:  Date CGO Reed:  (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted  Comments:  Date Returned to Offender:  anguage.  2 <sup>nd</sup> Submission CGO Initials:
<ul> <li>□ 3. Originals not submitted. *</li> <li>□ 4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments.*</li> <li>□ 5. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening leading to the submitted of t</li></ul>	Date UGI Recd:  Date CGO Recd:  (check one) Screened Improperly Submitted  Comments:  Date Returned to Offender:  anguage.  2 <sup>nd</sup> Submission  Date UGI Recd:
<ul> <li>☐ 3. Originals not submitted. *</li> <li>☐ 4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments.*</li> </ul>	Date UGI Recd:  Date CGO Recd:  (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted  Comments:  Date Returned to Offender:  2 <sup>nd</sup> Submission CGO Initials:  Date UGI Recd:  Date CGO Recd:
<ul> <li>□ 3. Originals not submitted. *</li> <li>□ 4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments.*</li> <li>□ 5. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening leading to the submitted of t</li></ul>	Date UGI Recd:  Date CGO Recd:  (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted  Comments:  Date Returned to Offender:  2nd Submission CGO Initials:  Date UGI Recd:  Date CGO Recd:  (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted
<ul> <li>□ 3. Originals not submitted. *</li> <li>□ 4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments.*</li> <li>□ 5. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening leading to the submitted of t</li></ul>	Date UGI Recd:  Date CGO Recd:  (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted  Comments:  Date Returned to Offender:  2 <sup>nd</sup> Submission CGO Initials:  Date UGI Recd:  Date CGO Recd:  (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted  Comments:
<ul> <li>□ 3. Originals not submitted. *</li> <li>□ 4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments.*</li> <li>□ 5. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening leading to the submitted of t</li></ul>	Date UGI Recd:  Date CGO Recd:  (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted  Comments:  Date Returned to Offender:  2 <sup>nd</sup> Submission CGO Initials:  Date UGI Recd:  Date CGO Recd:  (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted  Comments:  Date Returned to Offender:
<ul> <li>□ 3. Originals not submitted. *</li> <li>□ 4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments.*</li> <li>□ 5. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening l</li> <li>□ 6. Inappropriate.*</li> </ul>	Date UGI Recd:  Date CGO Recd:  (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted  Comments:  Date Returned to Offender:  Date UGI Recd:  Date CGO Recd:  (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted  Comments:  Date Returned to Offender:  2nd Submission
<ul> <li>□ 3. Originals not submitted. *</li> <li>□ 4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments.*</li> <li>□ 5. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening l</li> <li>□ 6. Inappropriate.*</li> </ul>	Date UGI Reed:  Date CGO Recd:  (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted  Comments:  Date Returned to Offender:  2nd Submission CGO Initials:  Date UGI Reed:  (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted  Comments:  Date Returned to Offender:  2nd Submission CGO Initials:  Date UGI Reed:  Date CGO Recd:  (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted  Comments:  Date Returned to Offender:  3nd Submission CGO Initials:  Date UGI Reed:
<ul> <li>□ 3. Originals not submitted. *</li> <li>□ 4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments.*</li> <li>□ 5. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening l</li> <li>□ 6. Inappropriate.*</li> </ul>	Date UGI Recd:  Date CGO Recd:  (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted  Comments:  Date Returned to Offender:  anguage.  2 <sup>nd</sup> SubmissionCGO Initials:  Date UGI Recd:  Date CGO Recd:  (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted  Comments:  Date Returned to Offender:
<ul> <li>□ 3. Originals not submitted. *</li> <li>□ 4. Inappropriate/Excessive attachments.*</li> <li>□ 5. Malicious use of vulgar, indecent, or physically threatening l</li> <li>□ 6. Inappropriate.*</li> </ul>	Date UGI Reed:  Date CGO Recd:  (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted  Comments:  Date Returned to Offender:  2 <sup>nd</sup> Submission CGO Initials:  Date UGI Reed:  (check one)ScreenedImproperly Submitted  Comments:  Date Returned to Offender:  2 <sup>nd</sup> Submission CGO Initials:  Date Returned to Offender:  2 <sup>nd</sup> Submission CGO Initials:  Date UGI Reed:  Date UGI Reed:  Date UGI Reed:  Date UGI Reed:  Date CGO Reed:

Appendix G

# **MA-03534-06-16 Montford Unit**

#### **Basic Information**

Date/Time of Use of force: Location of Use of force:

6/6/16 Time 1458 A3 pod Dayroom #4

Employee Participant Name:

David Camargo, CO III Julio Espinosa III, CO IV Marco Gonzalez, Sergeant Rafael Guitron II, CO V Bobby Gutierrez, CO V Jonathan Smith, CO II

Injury Incurred to employee as a result of the use of force: No

Offender Participant Name:

Charles Epley #601990

Injury Incurred to offender participant as a result of the use of force: Yes

**Brief Summary:** On the date and time listed above, and at A3 pod Dayroom #4, Offender Charles Epley #601990, was ordered to move into a new housing assignment and the offender failed to obey the order, thus resulting in the use of chemical agents and a 5 man team was utilized in order to gain compliance from the offender.

Administrative/disciplinary charges filed against offender: Yes

Level II, 24.0-Refusing or Failing to Obey Orders

Administrative/disciplinary charges filed against employees: No

INFORMATION

EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 12

Basic Information Provided by Maggie Schillaci 10/10/16

Texas Department of Criminal Justice
The Office of the Administrative Monitor for Use of Force
PO Box 99, Huntsville, TX 77320

www.tdcj.state.tx.us



**EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 13** 

Charles Epley <epleylegal@gmail.com>

#### Open Records Request - 04/04/2018

OGC Open Records < ogcopenrecords@tdcj.texas.gov> To: "epleylegal@gmail.com" < epleylegal@gmail.com> Co: Nicholas Morrell < Nicholas Morrell@tdcj.texas.gov>

Tue, Apr 17, 2018 at 6:50 PM

Good Morning Mr. Epley,

Please see the attached for your copy of our request for decision from the Attorney General. Please respond to this email with a valid mailing address so that the AG can mail you your copy of their ruling in 45-55 days.

Thank you,

Zeke Tisdale

Legal Assistant I - Legal Affairs

Texas Department of Criminal Justice

Office of the General Counsel

(936) 437-6004

(936) 437-6994 Fax

The information contained in this electronic mail and any attachments is intended for the use of the addressee(s) and may contain confidential, privileged, or proprietary information. Any other use of these materials is strictly prohibited. This email may not be forwarded outside the Texas Department of Criminal Justice Office of the General Counsel without the permission of the original sender. If you have received these materials in error, please notify me immediately by telephone and destroy all electronic, paper, or other versions.

2 attachments

PIR-Charles Epley.pdf 39K

Requestor Copy-Charles Epley.pdf 435K



Bryan Collier Executive Director

**EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 14** 

April 25, 2018

Charles Epley

Via Email: epleylegal@gmail.com

RE: Your Public Information Request re Charles Epley, TDCJ#ZT0128

Dear Mr. Epley:

The information responsive to the portion of your request where you ask for the video recording for case number MA-03534-06-16 has been deemed confidential by the Office of the Attorney General.

The Office of the Attorney General has previously ruled that the Texas Department of Criminal Justice (TDCJ) may withhold the use of force video for case number MA-03534-06-16. Thus, the TDCJ will not be releasing the responsive video to you or any other member of the public pursuant to OR2017-17674.

If you have any further questions please do not hesitate to contact this office.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Morrell

Assistant General Counsel

NAM/ZZT

Our mission is to provide public safety, promote positive change in offender behavior, reintegrate offenders into society, and assist victims of crime.

Office of the General Counsel

Sharon Felfe Howell, General Counsel - Sharon. Howell@tdcj.texas.gov

P.O. Box 13084 Capitol Station Austin, Texas 78711-3084

Phone (512) 463-9899, FAX (512) 936-2159

P.O. Box 4004

Huntsville, Texas 77342-4004

Phone (936) 437-6700, FAX (936) 437-6994

www.tdcj.texas.gov



Bryan Collier **Executive Director** 

April 25, 2018

Honorable Ken Paxton Attorney General of Texas Open Records Division P.O. Box 12548 - Capitol Station Austin, Texas 78711-2548

**EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 15** 

RE: Public Information Request Submitted by Charles Epley - TDCJ#ZT0128

Dear Mr. Attorney General:

In earlier correspondence, we requested your decision as to whether certain material requested by the above referenced requestor was excepted from disclosure. Accordingly, the TDCJ withdraws its decision request in this matter due to the following:

	All of the requested documents have been released to the requestor.
	The requestor has withdrawn the request for information voluntarily.
	The requestor has withdrawn the request for information by operation of law.
	The requestor has clarified and/or narrowed the request for information and this office has released the information subject to the more narrowly tailored request.
	The requestor is satisfied with the information or response provided.
	We have no information responsive to the request.
$\boxtimes$	Other: Ruling OR2017-17674 states this information may be withheld.
Sincerely,	111
///	
Nicholas Mori	rell (K)

Nicho

Assistant General Counsel

cc:

Charles Epley

epleylegal@gmail.com

NAM/ZZT

Our mission is to provide public safety, promote positive change in offender behavior, reintegrate offenders into society, and assist victims of crime.

Office of the General Counsel

Sharon Felfe Howell, General Counsel - Sharon. Howell@tdcj.texas.gov

P.O. Box 13084 Capitol Station Austin, Texas 78711-3084 Phone (512) 463-9899, FAX (512) 936-2159

P.O. Box 4004 Huntsville, Texas 77342-4004 Phone (936) 437-6700, FAX (936) 437-6994



EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 16

Charles Epley <epleylegal@gmail.com>

# Information requested to allow me to serve the SUMMONS in a federal civil action pursuant to Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Charles Epley <epleylegal@gmail.com>
To: Sharon.Howell@tdcj.texas.gov

Mon, May 28, 2018 at 8:24 PM

General Counsel Sharon Felfe Howell Office of the General Counsel Texas Department of Criminal Justice P.O. Box 4004 Huntsville, Texas 77342 USA

#### Dear General Counsel Howell:

Please note that the TDCJ-ID officials named below are the Defendants in a civil lawsuit in the federal court in Lubbock, Texas.

In the parenthesis, appears the rank of the officials, when known, and the prisons/departments/services where they were employed at some time during the year 2016.

Please provide me with all the available information (such as the complete names and the current addresses) you have access, or know how to access, which I need to serve the SUMMONS - pursuant to Rule 4 of the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure - upon the following persons:

- 01. Marco Gonzalez (Sergeant at Montford on June 06-2016),
- 02. David Camargo (Officer at Montford on June 06-2016),
- 03. Julio Espinosa III (Officer at Montford on June 06-2016),
- 04. Rafael Guitron II (Officer at Montford on June 06 2016),
- 05. Bobby Gutierrez (Officer at Montford on June 06-2016),
- 06. Jonathan Smith (Officer at Montford on June 06-2016),
- 07. Herrera (Sergeant at Montford on June 07-2016),
- 08. Tara C. Flores (Nurse at Montford on June 06-2016),
- 09. Maria R. Martinez (Nurse at Montford on June 06-2016),
- 10. Shaquavia C. Wagner (Nurse at Montford on June 06-2016),
- 11 Tasia M. Rains (Nurse at Montford on June 06-2016),
- 12. Robert Stevens (Warden at Montford on June 06-2016),
- 13. Lopez (Sergeant at Robertson on June 07-2016),
- 14. "Blue" (Duty medical official who dispensed the prescribed medications in the transit building of Administrative-Segregation at Robertson on June 07-2016),
- 15. The John/Jane Doe(s) security and medical officials at the "reception" area of the transit building of Administrative-Segregation at Robertson, when the bus arrived from Montford on June 07- 2016),
- 16. Samuel B. Itie (Medical official at Lynaugh on June 10-2016),
- 17. Roxie Ingram (Medical/Mental-Health official at Lynaugh in June of 2016),

- 18. M. Fuentes (Practice Manager at Lynaugh who issued the ruling in step one of grievance # 2016170365),
- 19. Michelle D. Sellers (Classification at Lynaugh in June of 2016),
- 20. Paul M. Robinson (Sergeant at Lynaugh in June of 2016),
- 21. Carolina Galindo (Property official at Lynaugh in June/July of 2016).
- 22. Heather M. Gonzales (Sergeant at Lynaugh on June 20-2016),
- 23. Raul Melero (Captain at Lynaugh on June 20-2016),
- 24. Sheila R. Lehnert (Grievance at Lynaugh in June of 2016),
- 25. Glen H. Whitfield (Warden at Lynaugh in June of 2016),
- 26. John/Jane Doe(s) (officials, with the Step II Medical Grievance Program Office of Professional Standards TDCJ Health Services Division, who issued the ruling in step two grievance # 2016170365),
- 27. K. Ward (official who issued the ruling in step two grievance # 2016171719),
- 28. C. Martinez (Official who issued the ruling in step two grievances # 2016160882 and # 2017141526),
- 29. M. Blalock (Official who issued the ruling in step two grievance # 2016163422).
- 30. Steve Massie (Assistant Regional Director who issued the ruling in step two grievance # 2016158831),
- 31. Katheryn Bell (Official with the TDCJ Office of the Administrative Monitor for Use of Force, in 2016).
- 32. Maggie Schillaci (Official with the TDCJ Office of the Administrative Monitor for Use of Force on October 10-2016),
- 33. Eric K. Miller (TDCJ Assistant General Counsel on June 01-2017),
- 34. John/Jane Doe(s) (the officials with the State Classification Committee in Huntsville in May and June of 2016),
- 35. Ashley Crutchfield (Assistant Attorney General-Open Records Division on August 07-2017).

Please provide me with the information requested above, without delay, to allow me to serve the summons, on the above named defendants, in a timely manner, pursuant to Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Alternatively, please provide me, without delay, with the names, titles, mailing addresses, fax numbers, email addresses, and telephone numbers of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice officials who can provide me with the information requested in this communication.

Should you have any questions or need further information, please do not hesitate to contact me at epleylegal@gmail.com;

Thank you very much for your time and assistance in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles Epley

CC (via registered Mail): Clerk of the Court

United States District Court

Northern District of Texas-Lubbock Division

1205 Texas Avenue; Suite 209 Lubbock, Texas 79401-4091

EXHIBIT: COMPLAINT # 16